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Executive Summary

In order to advance the development of the railway industry, the integration and testing of new railway components are crucial. This process can be radically improved by using a distributed simulation and validation framework. This framework is the objective for two European research projects: CONNECTA and Safe4RAIL.

The proposed distributed simulation and validation framework will support Software- and Hardware-In-The-Loop (SIL/HIL) testing, as well as the secure coupling of simulators and physical systems via heterogeneous communication networks. This framework is a network-centric simulator that allows co-simulating End Device (ED) models with network models to gain insight into the functionality, timing, reliability and safety of the Train Control and Monitoring System (TCMS) from a network point of view. The framework ensures the validations of TCMS by means of automation and fault injection tests. This framework will be composed by a Simulation Framework (SF), in charge of electromechanical and functional simulation, and a Communication Emulator (CE), in charge of providing communication among all the different devices in the TCMS. The aforementioned projects will be in charge of developing the whole system: CONNECTA focuses on the SF and Safe4RAIL on the CE.

This deliverable focuses on the description of the different use cases that defines the correct interaction of the CE with its users and test tools. On the other hand, the deliverable defines the correct behaviours and interactions of the actors, entities and subsystems that compose the CE. This definition is based on a series of architecture models, scope models and dynamic models. Finally, a series of sequence diagrams are presented which define the communication and interactions between the different subsystems for each of the use cases.
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Chapter 1  Introduction

In order to test the new technologies and architectural concepts presented by the Safe4RAIL project, a distributed simulation and validation framework is necessary. This framework is composed of a Simulation Framework (SF) in charge of electromechanical and functional simulation, and a Communication Emulator (CE) in charge of providing communication among all the different devices in the Train Control and Monitoring System (TCMS). In this document, a high level design for the CE is presented.

The main objective is to develop a CE that provides a safe communication among the different devices in the TCMS network. This CE allows simulated and physical devices (End Device (ED), Vehicle Control Unit (VCU), Human Machine Interface (HMI), among others) to be connected via heterogeneous networks.

This deliverable focuses on the description of the entities, actors, subsystems and functionalities of the CE. The structure of this deliverable is organized as follows:

- Chapter 2 gives an overview of the different functional and non-functional requirements the CE needs to provide in order to allow the validation and simulation of a TCMS.
- Chapter 3 is composed of a series of Use Cases that define and guide the interactions the different users or actors will experience when using the CE.
- Chapter 4 provides a depiction of the different actors and entities that composes the TCMS, focusing on the part of the software that is under design and its connection to other components of the system.
- Chapter 5 presents the architecture model that represents the different elements, interfaces and information used by the CE to ensure the communication, monitoring and management of the system.
- Chapter 6 focuses on the behaviour of the CE and how it reacts to stimulus coming from the user or other systems.
- Chapter 7 deals with the description of the sub-systems that composes the different elements of the CE.
- Chapter 8 presents a sample instantiation of the designed CE for a sample TCMS network.
- Chapter 9 provides a summary of the document.
Chapter 2 Requirements

In order to ensure the correct behaviour and interactions of the CE, a series of functional and non-functional requirements have been proposed. These requirements have been collected as part of Safe4RAIL’s deliverable D3.6 [1]. This deliverable distributes the requirements into different groups that deal with specific characteristics of the CE:

- Distributed Co-Simulation Requirements
- Software-In-The-Loop Requirements
- Hardware-In-The-Loop Requirements
- Test Operation and Test Automation Requirements
- Applicability Requirements
- Configuration Requirements
- Security Requirements

Additional architectural requirements have been provided by the CONNECTA project regarding the connection and interaction between the ED and the simulation framework. These requirements are collected in [2].
Chapter 3  Use Cases

The Use Case model is a catalogue of repeatable interactions or steps that a user (or “actor” in the Unified Modelling Language (UML)) experiences when using the system. A Use Case includes one or more “scenarios”, and each scenario describes the interactions that go on between the actor and the system. Results and exceptions that occur from the user’s perspective are documented.

First of all, some parts of the system which will be referenced in the use case models are going to be detailed and explained:

- **Simulation Framework (SF):** The SF is the system which is in charge of simulating the functional and electromechanical devices. This system is designed by CONNECTA.

- **Simulation Framework Tool Set (SFTS):** The SFTS is the system which is in charge of controlling the SF, and it is also designed by CONNECTA.

- **Communication Emulator (CE):** The CE is the system which connects all the devices of the simulation, as well as the Tool Set in charge of commanding them. It is composed by a CE controller (CE\textsubscript{c}) and one or several Simulation Bridges (CE\textsubscript{SB}); both of them are explained below:
  - Communication Emulator Controller (CE\textsubscript{c}): The CE\textsubscript{c} coordinates the simulation; it coordinates how the different CE\textsubscript{SB} exchange information.
  - Communication Emulator, Simulation Bridge (CE\textsubscript{SB}): The CE\textsubscript{SB} is in charge of connecting the different devices in the network to build the TCMS network through a heterogeneous network.

- **Communication Emulator Tool Set (CETS):** The CETS is the system which is in charge of configuring, monitoring and controlling the CE. It is composed by a master CETS (CETS\textsubscript{master}), a central CETS (CETS\textsubscript{c}), and a slave CETS (CETS\textsubscript{slave}), which are explained below:
  - Communication Emulator Tool Set, Master (CETS\textsubscript{master}): The CETS\textsubscript{master} will be responsible for configuring and starting the CE; it will send a file to the CE\textsubscript{c} including all the configuration data. It receives commands for configuration and monitoring from an external user and sends it to the CE\textsubscript{c}.
  - Central Communication Emulator Tool Set (CETS\textsubscript{c}): The CETS\textsubscript{c} will coordinate the configuration, control and monitoring of the CETS. It receives the commands for configuration and monitoring from the CETS\textsubscript{master}, and routes them to their destination, the different CE\textsubscript{SB} in the simulation.
  - Communication Emulator Tool Set, Slave (CETS\textsubscript{slave}): A CETS\textsubscript{slave} allows configuring, controlling and monitoring some CE\textsubscript{SB} locally. A CETS\textsubscript{slave} should tell the CETS\textsubscript{c} which CE\textsubscript{SB} is going to control, and the CETS\textsubscript{c} coordinates this to not allow more than one CETS (master or slave) to control the same CE\textsubscript{SB}.

- **Network simulator:** a simulator to simulate the switches of the TCMS when no real switches are present in the test.

The list of Use Cases which have been defined for the CE is:

- Configuration
- Reconfiguration
- SFTS command (Start, pause, step, resume, stop, fault-injection, monitoring, etc.)
- Ethernet interaction
- I/O interaction
- Monitoring/measurement start
- Monitoring/measurement stop
- Configuration file request
- Stop
- Fault injection start
- Fault injection stop

All of them, and their different scenarios, are explained below.

**Use case 1: Configuration**

Goal: The CETS\textsubscript{master} must open the Virtual Private Network (VPN) to secure the communication with the CETS\textsubscript{c} and send the configuration file. The necessary information is related to:

- Identification number and IP address of each CE\textsubscript{SB}.
- Identification number and IP address of each CETS\textsubscript{slave} in the simulation.
- Identification number of all the CE\textsubscript{SB} controlled by each CETS\textsubscript{slave}.
- Configuration of the co-simulation tool.
- Establish if the network devices (switches) should be simulated or real network devices are connected to the SF. If they should be simulated, configure them (get the info to inaugurate the network, etc.).

### 3.1.1 Scenario 1: Configuration when only one CETS attempts to be the CETS\textsubscript{master}

Precondition: the CE\textsubscript{c} is running the VPN server and the PCs containing the CE\textsubscript{SB}s and CETS\textsubscript{slave}s of the simulation are switched on and have their corresponding programs executing. No CETS\textsubscript{master} has been established and the configuration file is correct.

Steps:

1. The CETS receives a *configuration* command and the configuration file from a SFTS or a User.
2. A CETS opens a VPN connection and a socket with the CETS\textsubscript{c}. This CETS is established as the CETS\textsubscript{master}.
3. The CETS\textsubscript{master} sends the configuration file to the CETS\textsubscript{c}.
4. The CETS\textsubscript{c} analyses the configuration file, configures the CE\textsubscript{C} and the network simulator, and starts them.
5. The CETS\textsubscript{c} opens a VPN and a socket with each and every CE\textsubscript{SB} and CETS\textsubscript{slave} (specified by the configuration file) in the network.
6. The CETS\textsubscript{c} asks all the CETS\textsubscript{slave}s which CE\textsubscript{SB}s they are going to control.
7. Each CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends to the CETS\textsubscript{c} which CE\textsubscript{SB}s they are going to control.
8. The CETS\textsubscript{c} tells to the CETS\textsubscript{master} which CE\textsubscript{SB}s are not controlled by a CETS\textsubscript{slave} (they will be controlled by the CETS\textsubscript{master}), and any possible problem regarding the CETS\textsubscript{slave}s.
9. Each CETS\textsubscript{slave} connects with the CE\textsubscript{SB}s they must control.
10. All the CETS\textsubscript{slave}s send to the CE\textsubscript{SB}s the configuration file and they are configured.
11. CE\textsubscript{SB}s initialize, connect and do the co-simulation registration.
12. Each and every CE_SB confirms to the CETS_c that everything works correctly.
13. The CETS_c goes to a started state and reports it to the CETS_master.
14. END.

### 3.1.2 Scenario 2: Configuration when a second CETS attempts to be the CETS_master

Precondition: the CE_c is running, the VPN server and the PCs containing the CE_SBs and CETS_slave:s of the simulation are switched on and have their corresponding programs executing. Another CETS_master has been already established.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a **configuration** command and the configuration file from a SFTS or a User.
2. A CETS opens a VPN connection and a socket with the CETS_c, and sends the configuration file.
3. The CETS_c reports that other CETS has been established as the CETS_master.
4. END.

### 3.1.3 Scenario 3: Configuration with an incorrect configuration file

Precondition: the CE_c is running the VPN server and the PCs containing the CE_SBs and CETS_slave:s of the simulation are switched on and have their corresponding programs executing. No CETS_master has been established but the configuration file is not correct.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a **configuration** command and the configuration file from a SFTS or a User.
2. A CETS opens a VPN connection and a socket with the CETS_c, and sends the configuration file. This CETS is established as the CETS_master.
3. The CETS_master sends the configuration file to the CETS_c.
4. The CETS_c analyses the configuration file and detects some mistakes in it.
5. The CETS_c reports to the CETS_master that the file is not correct.
6. END.

### Use Case 2: Reconfiguration

Goal: reconfigure the system, maintaining the same network structure as in the previous test.

### 3.1.4 Scenario 1: Reconfiguration with a correct reconfiguration file

Precondition: the system has been configured and the reconfiguration file is correct.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a **reconfigure** command and the configuration file from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CET$\text{master}$ sends the *reconfigure* command and the reconfiguration file to the CET$\text{s}_c$.
3. The CET$\text{s}_c$ analyses the reconfiguration file.
4. The reconfiguration data is sent to the CE$\text{s}_B$s and the CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$s.
5. The CET$\text{s}_c$ asks all the CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$s which CE$\text{s}_B$s they are going to control.
6. Each CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$ sends to the CET$\text{s}_c$ which CE$\text{s}_B$s they are going to control.
7. The CET$\text{s}_c$ tells to the CET$\text{s}_\text{master}$ which CE$\text{s}_B$s are not controlled by a specific CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$ (they will be controlled by the CET$\text{s}_\text{master}$), and any possible problem regarding the CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$s.
8. Each CET$\text{s}_\text{slave}$ connects to the CE$\text{s}_B$s it must control.
9. CE$\text{s}_B$s do the registration.
10. CE$\text{s}_B$s confirm everything works correctly through the socket.
11. The CET$\text{s}_c$ reports to the CET$\text{s}_\text{master}$ that the reconfiguration has been done.
12. END.

### 3.1.5 Scenario 2: Reconfiguration with an incorrect reconfiguration file

Precondition: the system is running and the reconfiguration file is not correct.

Steps:
1. The CET$\text{s}_c$ receives a *reconfigure* command and the configuration file from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CET$\text{s}_\text{master}$ sends the *reconfigure* command and the reconfiguration file to the CET$\text{s}_c$.
3. The CET$\text{s}_c$ analyses the reconfiguration file and detects it is not correct.
4. The CET$\text{s}_c$ reports to the CET$\text{s}_\text{master}$ that the file is not correct.

### Use Case 3: SFTS commands (Start, pause, step, resume, stop, fault_injection, monitoring etc.)

Goal: the SFTS sends a command which the CE shall pass on to the EDs.

#### 3.1.6 Scenario 1: Transmission of SFTS commands

Precondition: the CE has been configured.

Steps:
1. The command is sent from the SFTS.
2. The CE$\text{s}_B$ takes the command and translates it to a co-simulation interaction.
3. The interaction is sent to the CE$\text{s}_B$s of the destination EDs.
4. The interaction is translated into the original command and it is sent to the EDs.
5. END.

### Use Case 4: Ethernet interaction

Goal: an ED sends an Ethernet frame which the CE shall pass on to the destination EDs.
3.1.7 **Scenario 1: Transmission of the Ethernet frame**

Precondition: the CE has been configured.

Steps:
1. The ED sends the Ethernet frame.
2. The CE$_{SB}$ takes the Ethernet frame and translates it into a co-simulation interaction.
3. The co-simulation interaction is sent to the CE$_{SB}$s of the destination EDs.
4. The interaction is translated into the original Ethernet frame and it is sent to the destination EDs.
5. END.

**Use Case 5: I/O interaction**

Goal: an ED changes the value of its I/O which the CE shall pass on to the destination EDs.

3.1.8 **Scenario 1: transmission of the I/O value**

Precondition: the CE has been configured and a change has been detected in the I/O.

Steps:
1. The CE$_{SB}$ obtains a sample from the I/O and translates it into a co-simulation interaction.
2. The co-simulation interaction is sent to the CE$_{SB}$ of the destination ED.
3. The interaction is translated to the I/O.
4. END.

**Use Case 6: Monitoring/measurements start**

Goal: start the monitoring or measuring of all signals/messages in a CE$_{SB}$.

3.1.9 **Scenario 1: CETS$_{master}$ starts the monitoring/measurements**

Precondition: the CE has been configured and the CETS$_{master}$ sends the monitoring_start command indicating the CE$_{SB}$ which shall start the monitoring/measurements.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a monitoring_start command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS$_{master}$ sends the monitoring_start command to the CETS$_{c}$ indicating the CE$_{SB}$ which shall start the monitoring/measurements process.
3. The CETS$_{c}$ sends the command to the desired CE$_{SB}$.
4. The CE$_{SB}$ starts monitoring or measuring data. The data is sent to the CETS$_{master}$ if the CE$_{SB}$ was told to monitor it, or it is saved in a file and sent to the CETS$_{master}$ at the end of the simulation if it was told to measuring it. The data and files are sent from the CE$_{SB}$ to the CETS$_{master}$ by routing them through the CETS$_{c}$.
5. END.

### 3.1.10 Scenario 2: CETS slave starts the monitoring/measurements

**Precondition:** the CE, the CETS\textsubscript{master} and all the CETS\textsubscript{slave} have been properly configured, and one CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the monitoring\textunderscore start to a CE\textsubscript{SB}.

**Steps:**
1. The CETS receives a monitoring\textunderscore start command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the monitoring\textunderscore start command to the CE\textsubscript{SB}.
3. The CE\textsubscript{SB} starts monitoring or measuring data. The data is sent to the CETS if the CE\textsubscript{SB} was told to monitor it, or it is saved in a file and sent to the CETS\textsubscript{slave} at the end of the simulation if it was told to measuring it.
4. END.

### Use Case 7: Monitoring/Measurement stop

**Goal:** stop the monitoring or measuring in a CE\textsubscript{SB}.

#### 3.1.11 Scenario 1: CETS master stops the monitoring/measurements

**Precondition:** the CE has been configured, the CETS\textsubscript{master} sends the monitoring\textunderscore stop command indicating the CE\textsubscript{SB} which shall stop the monitoring/measurements and this CE\textsubscript{SB} is monitoring/measuring.

**Steps:**
1. The CETS receives a monitoring\textunderscore stop command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{master} sends the monitoring\textunderscore stop command to the CETS\textsubscript{c} indicating the CE\textsubscript{SB} which shall stop the monitoring/measurement process.
3. The CETS\textsubscript{c} sends the command to the desired CE\textsubscript{SB}.
4. The CE\textsubscript{SB} stops monitoring or measuring data.
5. END.

#### 3.1.12 Scenario 2: CETS slave stops the monitoring/measurements

**Precondition:** the CE has been configured, the CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the monitoring\textunderscore stop to the CE\textsubscript{SB} which shall stop the monitoring/measurements and this CE\textsubscript{SB} is monitoring/measuring.

**Steps:**
1. The CETS receives a monitoring\textunderscore stop command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the monitoring\textunderscore stop command to the CE\textsubscript{SB}.
3. The CE\textsubscript{SB} stops monitoring or measuring data.
4. END.
Use Case 8: Configuration data request

Goal: request for the configuration file and receive it.

3.1.13 Scenario 1: Request the configuration data from the CETS\(_c\)

Precondition: the CE has been configured and CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) or CETS\(_{\text{slave}}\) sends the config\_request command to the CETS\(_c\).

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a config\_request command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) or the CETS\(_{\text{slave}}\) sends the config\_request command to the CETS\(_c\).
3. The CETS\(_c\) sends the configuration file to the CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) or the CETS\(_{\text{slave}}\).
4. END.

Use Case 9: Simulation stop

Goal: stop the simulation.

3.1.14 Scenario 1: Stop the simulation from the CETS\(_{\text{master}}\)

Precondition: the CE has been configured and it is running.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a stop command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) sends the stop command to the CETS\(_c\).
3. The CETS\(_c\) sends the stop command to every CE\(_{\text{SB}}\)s in the simulation.
4. Every CE\(_{\text{SB}}\) disconnects the co-simulation execution.
5. Every CE\(_{\text{SB}}\) which has saved measured data into a file sends it to the CETS\(_{\text{slave}}\) through the socket. If the CE\(_{\text{SB}}\) is controlled by the CETS\(_{\text{master}}\), the data is sent through the CETS\(_c\).
6. Every CE\(_{\text{SB}}\) confirms that the stop has been done to the CETS\(_c\).
7. The CETS\(_c\) confirms that the stop has been done to the CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) and all the CETS\(_{\text{slave}}\).
8. All sockets are closed.
9. The VPN is closed.

Use Case 10: Fault injection start

Goal: start the introduction of faults into communication of a CE\(_{\text{SB}}\). These faults refer to the introduction of a communication delay or message jamming.

3.1.15 Scenario 1: CETS\(_{\text{master}}\) starts the fault injection

Precondition: the CE has been configured.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a fault\_injection command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{master} sends the fault\_injection command to the CETS\textsubscript{c} indicating the CE\textsubscript{SB} which shall start the fault injection process and the fault to be injected.
3. The CETS\textsubscript{c} sends the command to the desired CE\textsubscript{SB}.
4. The CE\textsubscript{SB} starts introducing the fault into the communication.
5. END.

### 3.1.16 Scenario 2: CETS\textsubscript{slave} starts the fault injection

Precondition: the CE has been configured.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a fault\_injection command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the fault\_injection command to the CE\textsubscript{SB} indicating the fault to be injected.
3. The CE\textsubscript{SB} starts introducing the fault into the communication.
4. END.

---

**Use Case 11: Fault injection stop**

Goal: stop injecting of a specific fault in a CE\textsubscript{SB}.

### 3.1.17 Scenario 1: CETS\textsubscript{master} stops injecting a fault

Precondition: the CE has been configured and this CE\textsubscript{SB} is injecting a fault.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a fault\_reset command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{master} sends the fault\_reset command to the CETS\textsubscript{c} indicating the CE\textsubscript{SB} which shall stop the fault injection process and the fault to be stopped.
3. The CETS\textsubscript{c} sends the command to the desired CE\textsubscript{SB}.
4. The CE\textsubscript{SB} stops injecting the fault.
5. END.

### 3.1.18 Scenario 2: CETS\textsubscript{slave} stops injecting a fault

Precondition: the CE has been configured and this CE\textsubscript{SB} is injecting a fault.

Steps:
1. The CETS receives a fault\_reset command from a SFTS or a User.
2. The CETS\textsubscript{slave} sends the fault\_reset command to the CE\textsubscript{SB} and the fault to be stopped.
3. The CE\textsubscript{SB} stops injecting the fault.
4. END.
Chapter 4  Scope Model

The scope model represents the part of the software which is under design, and its relationship with the rest of the components of the system. The goal of this model is to represent the boundary of the system which has to be designed, being left outside the rest of the components (actors). Usually, the system to be designed uses libraries, which are also represented within the boundary (entities). Therefore, a scope model should define:

- Users (actors) of the system which interact with the system.
- The devices (entities) contained within the system, and libraries which are used by the system.
- The inter-relationship between these devices and the software itself.
- All messaging between the software and the devices.

The scope model of the designed system (the CE) is shown in Figure 1. The part of the software we are going to develop is represented within a rounded square meanwhile the rest of the existing system components are represented with square boxes. As the system emulates the communication between train components, these components are the actors. Furthermore, additional actors such as an external user or the SFTS are considered. All these actors are delved below.

![Scope Model diagram](image)

Figure 1. Scope model of the co-simulation framework.

4.1 Actors

Actors are the users of the system, which will have a well-defined role and have useful interactions with the systems. As stated before, in the CE case, the actors are devices which are present in railway networks, such as EDs and the TCMS network. Furthermore, an external
user, the SFTS and the internet or LAN to achieve distributed simulations are also considered. These actors are explained in more details below:

- **User**: An external user may be capable of connecting to the co-simulation framework to carry out monitoring, configuration tasks or to command the simulation (start/stop the simulation, etc.). The simulation state will be reported to the user.

- **Simulation Framework Toolset (SFTS)**: Framework used to command the functional simulation. The SFTS will carry out monitoring, configuration and simulation commanding tasks. It also receives information about the functional simulation state.

- **Real End Device (ED), Vehicle Control Unit (VCU), Human Machine Interface (HMI), I/O Boards**: a real ED, VCU, HMI or I/O boards can be connected to the simulation framework for testing purposes. VCU, HMI or I/O boards are parts of the TCMS network. A VCU is required so as to carry out control and monitoring functions in the TCMS, and HMIs and/or I/O boards may be used in order to validate an ED or for training purposes. Finally, any real ED such as doors controller can be evaluated using the CE, it may be connected to the SF using internet or a LAN which allows a remote validation of the device.

- **SW ED, VCU, HMI, I/O Boards**: the ED under test, the VCU, the HMI or the I/O Board may be implemented in software and executed in a PC which is connected to the CE using the Ethernet or I/O. In this case, it is possible to command the execution of the software by the SFTS. Some commands such as start and stop may be available in the SFTS.

- **Real network devices (switches)**: real network devices connected to the CE. A real or SW ED will be virtually connected to this network device by using the CE. The ED will be connected to a Consist Switch (CS) of the network according to the IEC 61375-3-4. The standard also allows an ED to be connected to a Train Backbone Node (TBN), but this option is not considered in the design because it is not widely implemented by train builders.

- **Internet/LAN**: CE may be connected to the simulation framework through the Internet or LAN. This allows testing an ED connected to the same LAN or located in a different place than the CE

All these actors exchange information with the designed system by Ethernet frames, which are sent/received using a heterogeneous network such as the Internet or a LAN. Inside these Ethernet frames the data of the different messages of every actor will be encapsulated. For example, test messages will be sent by the SFTS or railway protocols data by EDs.

## 4.2 Entities

The entities are the libraries which the system should use to carry out their objective. In the CE three entities will be used: a network simulator to simulate the TCMS network in case a real one is not connected to the CE, a co-simulation entity to exchange information between simulators and/or real devices and to synchronize them and a communication security entity to encrypt the information exchanged via the Internet.

### 4.2.1 Co-simulation entity

There are already several frameworks for co-simulation presented in section 4.4 of deliverable D3.1 [3] which provide mechanisms for synchronization and data exchange over the Internet. However, they are all designed for specific simulation tools without any generic interface or compatibility to existing standards. These are only two of the main disadvantages. In the following, the usage of the High Level Architecture (HLA) and the Functional Mockup Interface
(FMI) are discussed. Both overcome the lacks of adaptability and interoperability of different simulation tools.

4.2.1.1 The High Level Architecture

The HLA standard was initially developed by the U.S. Modeling and Simulation Coordination Office [4] and connects a set of individual components over a network. These components are called federates and may be computer simulations, supporting utilities or interfaces to live partitions [5].

4.2.1.1.1 Overview about the HLA

Designed at a level independent of any languages and platforms, the HLA supports solutions to the most common problems of interoperability. The only requirements are capabilities for the interconnection with other federates by the exchange of data. Otherwise, there are no constraints on what is represented or how [4]. In addition to interoperability, the HLA was designed for the reuse of components. Each federate must document its object model using a standard Object Model Template (OMT) which is intended for information sharing to facilitate the reuse [5].

Data exchange in the HLA is realized based on services. They are implemented in the Runtime Infrastructure (RTI) as the central component which acts as a distributed operating system. The services are categorized into different types such as data exchange, federation management, declaration management as well as time management and they can be accessed using a standardized interface [4]. Federation management includes the creation/deletion of federation executions and enables the federates to join or resign from them. Furthermore, the execution can be paused, check-pointed or resumed. Declaration management provides services to publish object attribute updates or interactions between federates and to subscribe to them. The advancement of the logical time and its relationship to wall-clock time during the execution is coordinated by the time management services. These categories are only a subset of those defined in the standard. However, the remaining services are not considered in this project [6].

Since the HLA was introduced, several implementations of free and commercial RTI implementations have been developed. Examples for commercial implementations are the MÄK High Performance RTI or the Pitch pRTI. Although they provide promising capabilities, they were not considered due to license costs unless there are no other opportunities. Free and available alternatives are CERTI, the Portico Project or OpenRTI. On the one hand, the selected RTI has to provide all required services. On the other hand, discontinued implementations shall be avoided and it is beneficial if the source code can be adapted during the development of the TCMS distributed co-simulation framework. Since the OpenRTI is still in development, the source code is freely available and it provides all required services such as the categories described above, it was selected for the proof-of-concept implementation of the framework.

4.2.1.1.2 Time management in the HLA

In the HLA, time is modelled as points along the HLA time axis. Each joined federate can associate itself with those points which then delineate the federate's logical time. Furthermore, it can assign time-stamps to its activities represented as messages. During its execution, the federate can advance along the time axis to a logical time which is greater than or equal to its current logical time. The progress can either be unconstrained or constrained by other federates and it is controlled by the time management services of the HLA [7]. These services interact with the HLA's object management services to provide a causally correct and ordered information delivery.

Messages in the HLA represent interactions between federates or attribute updates of objects in the simulations. They can include a time-stamp which is used to order the messages. To send a time-stamped message in a federation-wide time-stamped order (TSO), a federate
must be time-regulating while it has to be time-constrained to receive the message. The default state of a federate upon joining the federation execution is neither time-regulating nor time-constrained and the federate must call the `EnableTimeRegulation` and `EnableTimeConstrained` services first. If coordination with other federates is not required, the newly joined federate can remain in the default state.

A time-regulating federate shall specify a non-negative value called lookahead when it attempts to become time-regulating. The lookahead is a guarantee from the federate to the federation that it will not send any TSO message during the time interval between the current logical time and this time plus the lookahead. Hence, the RTI only grants time advances to a logical time which does not violate this constrain.

To provide a time-stamped ordered message delivery, the RTI must ensure that a time-constrained federate will never receive a TSO message in its past. Hence, a bound called Greatest Available Logical Time (GALT) is placed on those federates. It limits the advance in the logical time to only those times, where it is guaranteed that no TSO message will be sent to the federate. A request beyond the GALT is only granted, if the bound has increased beyond the requested time. The GALT is calculated by the RTI based on the federates' logical times, lookaheads and time advance requests. It increases during the execution when the time-regulating federates advance in time. Since non-constrained federates can always become time-constrained, the GALT is calculated for each federate. In this case, it represents the bound which would be applied when the federate becomes time-constrained.

Each time advance must be requested explicitly using the `TimeAdvanceRequest` or `NextMessageRequest` services. While the time advance services are used by time-stepped federates, event-stepped ones use the next message requests. The first type grants time-steps to the requested logical time. In contrast, the second type of services may also grant a step to a logical time before the requested one. This enables the federate to react on external events which are not known locally. By calling one of those services, the time-regulating federate guarantees that it will not send any TSO message until the requested time plus its lookahead. The RTI grants the time advance by responding with the `TimeAdvanceGrant` callback which takes the granted logical time as parameter. Until the response, the federate is not permitted to advance in time since the grant guarantees that there will be no further message sent to the federate. While the time-regulating federates must advance in time to enable progress in the time-constraint ones, also federates which are not time-regulating can advance in time. However, these advances have no effect on other federates unless the federate becomes time-regulating.

4.2.1.1.3 Co-simulation subsystem sequence diagrams

The following sequence diagrams denote the interactions which are exchanged between the federates and the RTI to realize the following actions: (I) the registration and announcement of synchronization points, (II) the behaviour of the federates if those points are achieved and (III) the interactions related to the time management services of the HLA.
Figure 2 shows the announcement of a synchronization point. While Federate 0 is responsible to register the point, Federate 1 only waits for the announcement. On each federate, a second thread is executed which acts as a callback-handler. The callbacks of the OpenRTI implementation are non-blocking. Hence, the federate has to call the `evokeCallback` function to check if a callback is available. One possible solution is busy waiting which is not useful in case of HIL simulations since CPU resources are wasted. An alternative is the usage of a second thread. The main thread is blocked and the callback-handler periodically checks the availability of a callback. Between the calls of `evokeCallback`, this thread is also blocked. If a callback is available, the handler wakes up the main thread which can continue its execution.

In the beginning, Federate 1 has to wait for the announcement of a synchronization point. Hence it passes the control to the callback-handler which is returned as soon as the `synchronizationPointAnnounced` callback is received.

Federate 0 starts with the function call `registerSynchronizationPoint`. The call is answered by the RTI using the `synchronizationPointRegistered` callback as a notification. The announcement follows in the next message and is received by all federates in the synchronization set. This set contains all federates which have to wait for the synchronization point and is not necessarily the complete federation.

In Figure 3, the behaviour of the synchronization set is displayed when the synchronization points are achieved. While Federate 1 has already finished its work before achieving the point, Federate 0 still has to execute. Both send the service `synchronizationPointAchieved` to the RTI and wait for the callback. When all federates in the set achieved the point, the RTI replies with `federationSynchronized` and the federates can continue their execution.
The sequence diagram of the HLA time management is depicted in Figure 4. The services used are `nextMessageRequest` and `timeAdvanceGrant`. They are explained in detail in Section 4.2.1.1.2.

Federate 0 requests a time advance to instant $t_0$ of the logical time and waits for the RTI’s grant. Meanwhile, Federate 1 sends all its messages $i_0, \ldots, i_N$ after it has finished its execution step. Afterwards, it requests a time advance until $t_1$ which is assumed to be after $t_0$. Hence, the RTI can grant the time advance of Federate 0 and it first transmits all messages Federate 0 has to receive. The last message sent to the federate is the time advance grant containing the granted time of $t_0$. Federate 0 is now able to execute its simulation step and sends its messages when the step is finished. This loop is performed by all time-constrained and regulating federates in the federation execution.
4.2.1.1.4 Time synchronization for SIL and HIL simulation

The time synchronization mechanism, explained in the previous sections, is used by the co-simulation entities to synchronize the simulation bridges to a common, logical simulation time. Thereby, there is no difference between SIL and HIL simulation. Figure 5 shows the scheduled tasks of an end device (part a) and the HLA services used to synchronize the end device with other end devices (part b).

The end device's schedule contains two tasks and 4 messages (see Figure 5, part a). The first task \((T_0)\) is time-triggered and starts at tick 3 \((t_{\text{start}_{T_0}})\). It requires message \(M_0\) for its execution which is received at tick 2. At tick 5, it sends message \(M_1\) and based on its WCET the task finishes at tick 6 \((t_{\text{end}_{T_0}})\). In contrast, the event-triggered task \((T_1)\) depends on the arrival of message \(M_2\) which is received at tick 8 \((t_{\text{start}_{T_1}})\). At its end at tick 10 \((t_{\text{end}_{T_1}})\), the task injects message \(M_3\) into the network.
Figure 5: Synchronization steps: a) scheduled tasks of end device, b) HLA services and synchronization steps

In Figure 5 part b, the schedule is used to explain the HLA time management services used to synchronize the end devices and how the simulation bridges advance in time. At first, only simulations without real end devices are considered. Starting at tick 0, the co-simulation module requests a time advance using the `NextMessageRequest` service until the scheduled beginning of $T_0$ (step 1). This time represents the next event in the local event queue. However, the end device receives message $M_0$ at tick 2 which is why only a time advance until this tick is granted (step 2). Since the reception of $M_0$ does not trigger the execution of a task, the time advance request is repeated (step 3) and granted in step 4. At tick 3, the simulation tool can perform a simulation step to advance in time and execute $T_0$ represented by the local event at tick 3. Using the `SendInteraction` service, message $M_1$ which is produced by the task is sent with a time-stamp of 5. Afterwards, the simulation bridge requests the next time advance. Since message $M_2$ is received at tick 8, the request is granted to this time and the dependent task $T_1$ is executed sending $M_2$ with time-stamp 10. Although the same time management services can be used if a real end device is connected to the simulation bridge in the HIL use-case, there are some differences compared to the SIL use-case. Those are explained in the following.

Since FMI is used to interface the simulation tools to the simulation bridges, the FMI function `DoStep` is used to trigger the execution of a simulation step until a specified time. Using `StepFinished`, the simulation tool signals the end of the execution. Afterwards, the simulation bridge can pull all messages from the simulation tool using the `getXXX` functions. However, most of the real end devices tested are related to real-time. They are executed in parallel to the simulation bridges and are connected via Ethernet. This is why the FMI functions are not used and other synchronization mechanisms between the end device and the simulation bridges have to be developed. The synchronization of the simulation bridges is realized using the HLA time management as described above.

1. All simulation tools are faster than the real end device
2. The real end device is faster than the rest of the simulation
   2.1. The real end device is event-triggered
   2.2. The real end device is time-triggered
Synchronizing the time advances of the end device depends on the features of the applications in the simulation and the end device itself. Usually, the slowest device determines the speed of the execution. Thereby, there is no difference whether the device is real or simulated. If the real end device is the slowest device in the simulation execution (see case 1 in the list above) so that all required data is received in time, there is no explicit synchronization mechanism required. The reason is that the other simulation bridges block their simulation tools until the time advances. This is the best case.

If the real end device is faster than the rest of the simulation (case 2), it does not receive the required data in time. Hence, the delays must be mitigated. The simplest case is when all tasks in the device are event-triggered and start when a message is received (case 2.1). In this case the end device is synchronized implicitly since it has to wait for the message arrival.

Time-triggered end devices (case 2.2) cannot be suspended in most cases. Often they are attached to I/O systems which need new control values continuously since otherwise the functionality would be affected. In this case, the real end device must receive the required data in time. To mitigate delays in the communication, a state estimation subsystem in the delay management estimates the required information which is then provided to the device.

The simulation bridge forwards messages destined to the real end device from the other federates in the simulation when the time advance is granted. After the reception, it has to forward the message to the device. Due to the relation to real-time, the device's current time must be synchronized with an image of the time in the synchronization bridge. Injecting the received message in the Ethernet link follows the required timing characteristics. On the other hand, the simulation bridge receives data messages from the device and converts them into HLA interactions. Those interactions are forwarded to the receiving federates using the HLA sendInteraction service as described above.

To signal the termination of a task in the end device there are two possible solutions. The first one is to define a bit in the Ethernet messages sent which denotes the last message created by the task. The other one would be sending an additional message signalling the termination. While the first possibility has the advantage that no further message has to be sent and the simulation bridge is notified as soon as possible, the second solution does not require the end device to know, which message is the last one executed in the task. However, the task needs to be finished if the message is sent at its end.

4.2.1.1.5 The HLA FOM

Messages in the HLA are called interactions defined in the Federation Object Model (FOM). The listing below shows the content of the FOM for the Communication's Emulator. Data is sent using the TRDP and FTP protocols between the different end devices wherefore an interaction class is defined for each protocol. Since there are different message types, the FOM defines an interaction class for each of them.

Due to the publish/subscribe concept of the HLA, a federate which subscribes to an interaction EthlInteraction would receive every of those interactions even if it is not required. Hence there must be a possibility to distinguish the messages sent by the end devices which is solved exploiting the inheritance concept of the HLA. There are child-classes for each communication link between a sender and a receiver. However, the solution reduces the scalability of the framework if there are many devices. Since the end devices send their data to a network simulation federate first which relays the message to the receiving federate, all messages to the related network simulation federate can be pooled in one interaction class. This way, the resulting number of interaction classes depends on the number of network simulation federates. If there is only one of those federates, the number of interaction classes is reduced to the number of end devices receiving interactions plus one class for messages to the network simulation.
Messages from the end device are either sent via FMI if the end device is a simulation tool or sent via Ethernet if it is a real end device. The two possibilities determine which way needs to be used to map the data to an HLA interaction.

The main fields in the FMI interface are called Data and Protocol. Since the data-type of Data is FmiString, it is generic and supports all protocols. To distinguish them, the Protocol field is used. The Simulation Bridge needs to convert the FmiString to a byte-array. From this array, Header and Dataset can be decapsulated and converted to strings. OpenRTI uses a data-type called VariableLengthData in the interactions. Hence, the strings must be further mapped to the HLA type and encapsulated in the interaction.

In case of a real end device, the protocol stack of the received message is analysed to determine the application protocol. TRDP and FTP are sent via TCP and UDP wherefore the Simulation Bridge establishes a TCP/UDP-socket to communicate with the device. Since the messages are already received as byte-arrays, only a mapping to the related interactions is required. This is similar to the mapping in case of FMI.
4.2.1.2 The Functional Mockup Interface

The Functional Mockup Interface (FMI) is a tool-independent standard. It is used for exchanging dynamic models or to co-simulate them [8]. It provides an interface which is implemented by more than 30 tools for version 1.0 and more than 25 tools for version 2.0 [9].

FMI mainly consists of two parts, the interfaces for Model Exchange (ME) and for the co-simulation. The first interface aims at the creation of a modelling environment which is able to generate C-code of a dynamic system model. Another simulation environment is hence able to use the generated code as an input/output block. In general, models are described by differential, algebraic and discrete equations with time-, state- and step-events. The second type of interfaces is used for co-simulation. In such environments, two or more simulation tools (slaves) are coupled by a master algorithm. This algorithm is responsible for the synchronization of the simulation tools and the exchange of data between them at discrete communication points. In between, the subsystems are solved independently by their own solver. If the master algorithm connects models for model exchange, it also solves the models [9]. Although FMI supports different algorithms, it does not define one in the standard [10]. As explained in D3.1, there are many solutions available which combine FMI with the HLA. The combination will also be used in the distributed co-simulation framework.

While there were different interfaces for both use-cases of FMI available in version 1.0, the main advantage of version 2.0 is the integration of both interfaces in one standard. Additionally, small details were improved and new features introduced. Hence, the usage of the standard is simplified and the performance is increased [11].

In the Distributed Simulation Framework, FMI 2.0 is used to communicate a simulation tool or an application (SIL) to the CE \textsubscript{SB}. Table 1 shows the variables which are used to communicate between the ED and the CE \textsubscript{SB}. The first column denotes the value and the second one presents its data-type. While column three points out the direction of the interface (from CE\textsubscript{SB} to the ED, vice versa or both), column four describes the values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Common Name</th>
<th>Data-type</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>FmiString</td>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>The packet which is received or shall be sent. It is encoded as a Byte-Array in the CE and converted to an FmiString in the interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>FmiInt</td>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>Protocol denotes the protocol used to encapsulate the data. It is represented as a value from an enumeration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msg Send Timestamp MSBs</td>
<td>FmiInt</td>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>Timestamp when the message has to be sent. Since a timestamp is 64bit and FmiInt 32bit (in standard C), this value represents the MSBs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msg Send Timestamp LSBs</td>
<td>FmiInt</td>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>Timestamp when the message has to be sent. Since a timestamp is 64bit and FmiInt 32bit (in standard C), this value represents the LSBs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Data</td>
<td>According Fmi type</td>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>This value represents all variables which have to be configured, monitored or where faults can be injected. It has to be replaced by all those variables with the related data-types. They can be set (configuration) or got (monitoring) using FMI’s set and get functions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2 **Network simulator**

Riverbed (former OPNET) is a powerful commercial network simulator which is widely used in the industry and academia to simulate and evaluate different communication layers, network elements and protocols. Due to widespread usage, Riverbed (former OPNET) evolves constantly to support a broader range of network protocols and technologies. In addition, Riverbed has a comprehensive Graphical User Interface (GUI) that enables the user to model a network topology from different levels of network (e.g. physical layer). The visual design of a network topology maps to real system implementation using object-oriented programming approach. Riverbed is a discrete-event triggered simulation tool. This means when a user develops a use case, the events simulate the system operation. This simulator also offers a programming technique to implement user-defined network protocols and message formats. To develop a customized network model in Riverbed, a user needs to specify node models and process models (which is a comprised state transmission machine).

Riverbed's core functionalities are: modelling, simulating, and analysis. In Riverbed, the simulation results are presented in different readable forms (e.g. graphs, statistics). Some of Riverbed's capabilities based on OPNET whitepaper can be listed as follow: parallel and event-triggered simulation kernel, powerful GUI for model development, user friendly debugging and data analysis tools, discrete event simulation engine, several standard component with source code, object-oriented modelling and open interface for importing external models [12], [13].

Since Riverbed (OPNET) is seen as a powerful simulation framework for the modelling and performance evaluation of a wide range of existing and future networks, we decide to use it for modelling the network simulator subsystems. Furthermore, prior simulation works (including TTEthernet) have been done in OPNET. Therefore, using OPNET as a simulation platform provides us an opportunity to simulate various wireless network setups with different time-triggered protocols. These modelling activities do not require any additional effort and simulation results can be analysed easily.

It could be mentioned also that external hardware or software can be connected directly to RIVERBED (former OPNET) using System In The Loop module.

In addition to these facts, our industry partners use Riverbed for their simulation activities. Thus, for integration and usability purposes it makes sense to use the similar simulation tool for our network simulator.

Nevertheless, although Riverbed has been selected for the network simulator, this tool may be replaced by another one (commercial or proprietary) to simulate the behaviour of several Ethernet switches connected in a TCMS network.

4.2.3 **Communication Security**

In order to secure the (network) communication channel between CE>s and the corresponding PC running RTI, the following protocols of VPN were under consideration:

- Point-to-Point Tunnelling Protocol (PPTP) – operates without certificate infrastructure
- Layer Two Tunnelling Protocol (L2TP) – strong authentication by means of user-level and computer-level authentication
- Internet Protocol Security (IPSec) – provides per packet data authentication, data integrity, replay protection and data confidentiality
- Secure Socket Tunnelling Protocol (SSTP) – breaches the geological boundary
- OpenVPN (SSL)
The latter will be considered in detail subsequently. OpenVPN represents a decisive software tool in the VPN market for a peer-to-peer connection, which emulates the properties of a private link. Due to the GNU General Public License (GPL), OpenVPN is commonly used on various operating systems in order to securely access remote facilities, while maintaining privacy of information. Among others, OpenVPN enables a secure authentication by means of a challenge-response procedure and pre-shared credentials, certificates or keys. The security of OpenVPN relies on the well-established OpenSSL library and therefore offers up to 256-bit AES key size for encryption of transmitted (communication) data [14].

For the communication channel between the CE_{SB} and the RTI, at least the following requirements shall be covered by the framework, respectively by the usage of OpenVPN:

- Enable secure communication for transmission of commands between federates/components.
- Ensure confidentiality, authenticity and integrity of (configuration) files as well as data transfer.
- Ensure communication channel between outstanding entities/PCs, e.g. between the graphical user interface and the framework with regards to threats and attacks.

4.2.3.1 Establishing Secure Tunnel

Both client and server use a specific tunnel data transfer protocol based on OpenSSL in order to establish a tunnel and transfer data successfully and securely. Before the main data transfer can be started, the sending entity (client or server) has to transmit a payload including a tunnel data transfer protocol header to the receiving entity. To be more accurate, the header includes the SSL version, the chosen cipher settings and session-specific data. Afterwards, the receiving entity, in the following the server, has to encapsulate the tunnel data transfer protocol header from the received data packet. Additionally, the server has to transmit its server information (cipher settings, session specific-data and certificate including public key) as well. Subsequently, the client will authenticate and validate the received certificate. Based on the result of the validation, the client has to create a pre-master secret (valid for the current session only) and perform an encryption by means of server’s public key provided within the certificate. Afterwards, the cipher of the session’s pre-master secret has to be transmitted to the server, whereupon the cipher is decrypted by means of server’s private key. Both the server and the client now have the ability to generate a symmetric session key based on the exchanged pre-master secret. The session key will be used for the succeeding communication (for en- and decryption of data packets), as long as the session is valid. To be in-line on both sides, an acknowledgement will be dispatched among themselves in order to use the session key only [14].

The tunnelling procedure behaves analogue in both direction. As mentioned before and depicted in Figure 6, the RTI is acting as a server, the federate as a client. However, the RTI, respectively the server, will initiate the establishment of the secure tunnel in the very first step and send an authentication invitation to the federate.
Figure 6. Establishing Secure Tunnel between RTI and Federate
Chapter 5 Architecture model

The architectural model represents the overall framework of the system to be implemented. It contains both structural and behavioural elements of the designed system. The architecture model of the CE is shown in Figure 7. Within it, the main component is the Central PC which contains the different modules that coordinate the execution of the co-simulation. Figure 8 presents these different modules.

- Communication module: is in charge of providing a secure communication for the different module of the PC. This communication is based on sockets or HLA messages.
- Communication’s Emulator Controller (CE\textsubscript{C}): is in charge of managing the execution of the simulation and providing the Network simulation if necessary. This means that the CE\textsubscript{C} is composed by its own sub-modules:
  
  - Configuration Module: takes the configuration command from the CETS\textsubscript{C} and configures the other modules accordingly.
  - Co-simulator Module: in charge of managing the HLA communication and synchronization.
  - Network Simulator Module: provides the emulation of the network devices if no network devices are connected to the CE. Two options are available within this simulator:
    - Network from the WP1: WP1 is focused on the creation of a solid foundation and concepts for a “Drive-by-Data” railway network architecture [15].
    - ETB/ECN networks [16], [17], [TBC].
  - Communication’s Emulator Simulation Bridge (CE\textsubscript{SB}): Provide the communication of the Network Simulator Models with the different devices in the TCMS network.
  - User Interface (UI): Provides an interface that allows the introduction of configuration files and CETS commands.
- Central Communication’s Emulator Toolset (CETS\textsubscript{C}): The CETS\textsubscript{C} is in charge of managing and validating the structure and configuration of the systems based on configuration files and messages receive from the CETS\textsubscript{master} and CETS\textsubscript{slave}s. In the other hand, the CETS\textsubscript{C} also provide the routing of the configuration and monitoring command from the CETS\textsubscript{master} to the corresponding CE\textsubscript{SB}s.
Figure 7. Architecture model of the co-simulation framework.
The Test Control PCs are another big part of the framework. These PCs allow the configuration and monitoring of the EDs in the network. There are two types of Test Control PCs depending on the type of CETS that they contain; slave PCs contain a CETS\textsubscript{slave} and master PCs contain the CETS\textsubscript{master}.

The main difference between the CETS\textsubscript{master} and CETS\textsubscript{slave}, is that the master provides the configuration and monitoring of distributed devices (these command are routed by the CETS\textsubscript{c}), meanwhile the slave can only interact with devices which are directly connected to the respective Test Control PC.

The CE cannot have more than one master at the same time.

Both CETS\textsubscript{master} and CETS\textsubscript{slave} follow the structure presented in Figure 9.

- Configurator Module: is in charge of managing the configuration of its corresponding CE\textsubscript{SB}s.
- Monitoring Module: recollects the monitoring information of the different CE\textsubscript{SB}s.
- Communication module: is in charge of providing a secure communication with the different elements of the CE.
The CE can consider real and simulated EDs, VCU, HMI, Ethernet Train Backbone Network (ETBN), Ethernet Consist Switch (ECS) or I/O boards connected to the TCMS network. In order for these devices to be incorporated into the network they must be connected to a CE<sub>SB</sub>. The CE<sub>SB</sub> ensures the correct interaction between the different devices. Figure 10 depicts the modules that compose the CE<sub>SB</sub>.

- Communication Module: is in charge of providing a secure communication for the different modules of the PC. This communication is based on sockets or HLA messages.
- Co-simulator Module: in charge of managing the HLA communication and synchronization.
- Wrapper Module: recollects the Ethernet communication frames, and I/O provided by real or simulated ED. This information is later analysed and re-packaged in order to be sent to the corresponding ED or to the Network Simulator using the HLA communication. When an output message is generated, a time stamp is added for later use by the delay manager. The wrapper also takes the incoming messages from the other CE<sub>SBs</sub> and sends the content to the ED using either the Ethernet connection or the corresponding I/O.
- Delay Manager: analyses the incoming messages in order to determine if the delays introduced by the network are acceptable or not and tries to mitigate them.
- Fault Injection: introduces a predefined fault to the communication when needed. The faults that can be introduces are related to the communication delay or to message jamming (which prevent the reception of a set number of message).
- Monitoring Modules: recollects the input and output messages in order to monitor the communication when needed. This information is later sent to the corresponding CETS.
- Configuration Module: takes the configuration command from the CETS and configures the other modules accordingly.
In order to provide the configuration and management of the simulated devices, the framework can contain either a UI (located in the central PC) or a SFTS. Both of these elements are connected to the different simulated ED by a CE<sub>SB</sub>. This CE<sub>SB</sub> routes the SFTS commands to the corresponding simulated EDs.

5.1 Interfaces

In the system, different interfaces should be taken into account. Firstly, the CE (CE<sub>SB</sub> and CE<sub>C</sub>) exchanges HLA interactions via a heterogeneous network. This interface is used to exchange TCMS data or SFTS commands. Furthermore, the CETS (CETS<sub>master</sub>, CETS<sub>C</sub> and CETS<sub>slave</sub>) uses a socket to exchange managing data. Due to the fact that these two interfaces communicate via a heterogeneous network, a VPN is used in order to ensure security. The use of OpenVPN to do so has been discussed in Section 4.2.3.

5.1.1 Interface SFTS – CETS

The SFTS and the CETS processes run in the same PC, and they interact with each other by a TCP/IP socket. The SFTS sends commands to the CETS to configure the CE, and it receives a determined answer for some of these commands. All the available commands and their
corresponding answer are detailed in Table 2. Furthermore, in parenthesis, the parameters which every command demands are shown.

The configuration and reconfiguration commands require a file to configure the system. Thus, the SFTS should tell to the CETS the path of the desired file. If the system has been correctly configured, the CETS returns a Configured message; otherwise, the CETS returns an error.

The monitoring start and monitoring stop commands start and stop the monitoring of the CE signals/messages. The CETS should tell to the CETS the ID of the CEsB to be monitored, and if the monitoring data should be saved in a file or directly transmitted to the SFTS. The data saved in a file during a test is sent to the SFTS at the end of this test, when the simulation stop command is sent.

The configuration request command returns the configuration file which the system has received. The CETS receives this file and sends the file path to the SFTS.

When the SFTS sends a fault injection command (start or stop), the ID of the CEsB and the fault type should be indicated.

Finally, after the simulation stop command is sent, the SFTS receives all the monitoring files (if any), and a stopped command indicating that the simulation has been stopped.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commands from the SFTS to the CETS</th>
<th>Answers from the CETS to the SFTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Configure (configuration file path)</td>
<td>Configured/Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconfigure (reconfiguration file path)</td>
<td>Configured/Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring start (CEsB ID, save in file?)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring stop (CEsB ID)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration request</td>
<td>Configuration file (configuration file path)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault Injection start (CEsB, fault type)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault injection stop (CEsB, fault type)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation stop</td>
<td>Stopped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Commands of the interface SFTS – CETS.

5.1.2 Interface SFTS – CEsB

This interface is used by the SFTS to command the SF. The SFTS sends the commands encapsulated in a TCP/IP frame, being the destination address the address of the SF which should receive the command.

The commands which the SFTS sends have to be defined by CONNECTA.

5.1.3 Interface Real ED – CEsB

This interface is an Ethernet or analog/digital I/Os which are connected directly to the CEsB. The CEsB will be seen by the real ED as the switch to which it would be connected in a real TCMS, being the CEsB transparent for the real ED.
5.1.4 Interface SF (Sim. Tool) – CE<sub>SB</sub>

This interface sends a set of FMI commands or any other alternative commands via TCP/IP. These commands can be divided into:

- RTI commands:
  - DoStep: ask the simulation tool to run up to a specified time.
  - StepFinished: the simulation tool informs that a specified time has been reached.
  - I_Orequest: ask the value of a specific I/O to the simulation tool.
  - I_OMessageTraffic: the value of a specific I/O is sent to the simulation tool.

- Communication data:
  - SFTS commands: sent by the SFT to command the functional behaviour of the simulation tool. As stated above, these commands should be defined by CONNECTA.
  - Communications with the rest of the elements in the TCMS network.

- State estimator: FMI commands will be used to an external state estimator. More details about the state estimator and its interface are delved in Section 7.11.3.

5.2 Architectural requirements validation

This section presents the characteristics of the simulation framework that fulfil the architectural requirements.

- CE<sub>SB</sub> creates a simulated channel for exchanging monitoring, configuration, analysis and troubleshooting data locally or remotely. The communication between the CE<sub>SB</sub> is managed by a CE<sub>C</sub> (req. ID_20001).

- The introduction of CE<sub>SB</sub> and CE<sub>C</sub> as part of the Local Communication Network (LCN) ensures remote and local communication between real and simulated system/subsystems (req. ID_20002).

- One or more systems/subsystems can be connected to a single CE<sub>SB</sub> (req. ID_20003).
As seen in Figure 7 the CE_SB and CE_C are configured, controlled and monitored using a combination of a CETS_master, a CETS_C and a set of CETS_slave (req. ID_20004, ID_20008).

A CETS_master can manage one or more CE_SB (req. ID_20007) remotely by routing its communication using the CETS_C; meanwhile CETS_slave can only manage CE_SB locally (req. ID_20005).

The CETS_C ensures that a CE_SB is only controlled by a single CETS (master or slave) (req. ID_20006).
The Simulation host can handle multiple EDs. (req. ID_20011)

It is possible to distribute a system/subsystem between multiple simulation hosts and to connect those using CE\textsubscript{SB}s (req. ID_20012).

The simulation host is controlled by a SFTS. The commands of this tool set are sent across CE by using CE\textsubscript{SB}s (req. ID_20013, ID_20016).

The CE\textsubscript{SB} can be integrated into the simulation host (req. ID_20018)

The CETS and SFTS can be combined into a single computer as seen in the Test Control PC 1 of Figure 7 (req. ID_20019).

All communication and commands between the elements of the simulation follow the HLA communication protocol (req. ID_20020).
• All communication related to the simulation framework is performed over a heterogeneous network separated from the LCN (req. ID_20021)
Chapter 6  Dynamic model

The dynamic model defines the behaviour of the system taken as a whole. In simple terms it describes how the system reacts in response to external stimuli (which may come from humans or other systems). The diagram is depicted using a system state diagram.

The dynamic model for the CE is shown in Figure 19; it includes the different states of the system which are:

- Not configured: in this state the system has not been configured, and it is waiting for the `Config` command.
- Validated and connected: in this state the system has performed the validation of the configuration files, as well as it has connected and initialized the different CE_{SB}s and CETS_{slave}s of the system.
- Configured: the registration of synchronization points and the configuration of the object and interactions (publication and subscription) used by the different federates has been performed.
- Started: the SF simulation has been started and it is executing the main loop. During this state the `Reconfigure` command may be sent, however, after this command the FOM and the number of federates cannot be changed. The `Reconfigure` command only changes the registered points and the published and subscribed objects and interactions.
- Stopped: the simulation has been stopped in order to configure the system using the `Config` command, this means that the FOM and the number of federates can be changed for the next test. During this state the monitoring files are collected from the corresponding CE_{SB}.
- Info requested: Collects the configuration file form the CETS_{C} and present it to the UI.
- Configure Monitoring: Configures a CE_{SB} in order to provide the monitoring of the messages of the CE_{SB}. This monitoring sends the data directly to the UI or is stored in a monitoring file for later retrieval.
- Stop Monitoring: Stops the monitoring process on a specific CE_{SB}.
- Fault injection configured: The fault injection command including the fault type has been received by CE_{SB} and it has been started.
- Fault injection stopped: The fault reset command indicating the fault type has been received by the CE_{SB} and this fault has been stopped.
Figure 19. Dynamic model of the Communication Emulator (CE).
Chapter 7 Subsystem model

The designed CE is composed of different subsystems, in this chapter a dynamic model is presented for each one. The different subsystems in the system are:

- User Interface subsystem.
- Configurator subsystem (Central).
- Configurator subsystem (Master/Slave).
- Configuration subsystem.
- Monitoring subsystem (Simulation Bridge).
- Monitoring subsystem (Central).
- Monitoring subsystem (Master/Slave).
- Communication subsystem (Central).
- Communication subsystem (Master/Slave, Simulation Bridge).
- Wrapper subsystem.
- Delay Manager subsystem.
- Fault injection subsystem
- Co-simulation subsystem.
- Network Simulator subsystem.

Furthermore, all interactions among the different subsystems have been defined. These interactions are shown in the sequence diagrams in Chapter 12 Appendix I, in this chapter, the sequence diagrams where each subsystem appears are named.

7.1 User Interface Subsystem

The UI subsystem is located in the CETS and is in charge of interacting with the user. Its dynamic model is shown in Figure 20. The UI subsystem received the commands from the user, changing its state among:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user to configure it.
- Configure file selected: The Configure command has been received and the configuration file has been ordered to the user.
- Configure file received: The configuration file has been received from the user and it has been sent to the Configurator subsystem.
- Configured and running: The whole system has been configured and it is running now, this new state has been reported to the user.
- Fault injection cmd received: The fault_start or fault_reset command has been received from the user and it has been sent to the Fault injection subsystem.
- Monitoring cmd received: The Monitoring_start or Monitoring_stop command has been received from the user and it has been sent to the Monitoring subsystem.
- Monitoring data received: The CETS_master has received monitoring data from a CETS, and it has been sent to the user.
Configure request received: The user has asked for the configuration file, and this request has been sent to the Central PC.

Configuration file received: The configuration file has been sent by the Central PC to the UI subsystem which has sent it to the user.

Error reported: An error has been detected in the configuration. Errors could occur because another master has started the simulation or because the configuration file is not correct. This is reported to the user.

Stop cmd received: Stop command has been received.

Stopped: The system has stopped its working and this new state has been reported to the user.

The User Interface subsystem is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration. Figure 47 and Figure 49.
- Use case 2: Reconfiguration. Figure 50 and Figure 51.
- Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
Use case 8: Configuration data request. Figure 59.
Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61 and Figure 62
Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63 and Figure 64

7.2 Configurator Subsystem (Central)

The configurator subsystem (central) is located in the CETSc and is in charge of receiving the configuration file and analyse it. Then, the configuration data is sent to the different CETSSlaveS and CETSs in the simulation. The different states in the model are:

- **Not configured**: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- **Error reported**: An error has been detected in the configuration. In this state errors can only occur because the configuration file is not correct.
- **Configure cmd received**: The Configure command and the configuration have been received. They have been sent to the configuration subsystem to configure the Co-simulation subsystem and the Network simulator subsystem. The subsystem is waiting for the CETSc to be configured.
- **Central PC configured**: The Co-simulation subsystem, the Monitoring subsystem and the Network simulator subsystem have been configured and they have confirmed it. The CETSSlaveS are requested to send their configuration file.
- **Configure file received**: A CETSSlave has sent the configuration file and the CETSc has received it.
- **Controlled CESbs information request**: The Central PC has requested to the CETSSlaveS which CESSbs they are going to control.
- **Controlled CESSbs settled**: Each CETSSlaveS has reported to the CETSc which CESSbs it is going to control in the simulation and the CETSc has sent to the CETSSlaveS and the CETSMaster which CESSbs are under their control.
- **Configuration information sent to the CESSb**: The configuration information has been sent to the CESSbs associated to the CETSMaster.
- **Configured and running**: The whole system has been configured and it is running now, this new state has been reported to the UI subsystem.
- **Fault injection started**: The fault injection command has been received and it has been routed to the corresponding CESSb.
- **Fault injection reset**: The fault reset command has been received and it has been routed to the corresponding CESSb.
- **Reconfigure cmd received**: The reconfigure command has been received and it has been sent to the Co-simulation subsystem and the Network simulator subsystem.
- **Configured**: The CETSc has been reconfigured. The reconfigure command and the reconfiguration file have been sent to the CE.
- **Stop cmd received**: The Stop command has been received and it has been sent to the Network simulator subsystem.
- **Central PC stopped**: The CETSc has been stopped. Every CE and CET is told to stop the simulation.
• CE or CETS stopped: A CE or a CETS confirmed its simulation is stopped.
• Stopped reported: The subsystem reports that the simulation has been stopped.
Figure 21. Dynamic model of the Configurator (Central) Subsystem.

The configurator subsystem (central) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:
• Use case 1: Configuration. Figure 47 and Figure 49.
• Use case 2: Reconfiguration. Figure 50 and Figure 51.
• Use case 8: Configuration data request. Figure 59.
• Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
• Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61
• Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63

7.2.1 Configuration file

This file contains the configuration data that defines the CETSs (master and slave), the RTI communication (federates, interaction, FOM file, Synchronization points) and the Network Simulator.

```xml
<CommunicationEmulator>
  <!-- Defines the different Communication Emulator Tool Sets -->
  <CommunicationEmulatorToolSets>
    <!-- CETS information
    - id: CETS identifier
    - type: defines if the CETS is a master or a slave
    - ip: ip address of the CETS -->
    <CETS id="CETSmaster" type="master" ip="192.168.0.100"/>
    <CETS id="CETSslave" type="slave" ip="192.168.0.101"/>
    ...
  </CommunicationEmulatorToolSets>
  <!-- Defines the federation information
  - executionName: Name of the Federation execution
  - RTIip: ip of the RTI node that manage the HLA communication -->
  <Federation executionName="TCMSnetwork" RTIip="192.168.0.1">
    <!-- Number of federates in the federation -->
    <NumberofFederate>8</NumberofFederate>
    <!-- Federate information
    - id: Federate identifier
    - isTimeConstrained: Is the federate time constrained?
    - isTimeRegulating: Is the federate time regulating?
    - ip: ip address of the federate use for configuration
    - CETSid: id of the CETS which control and monitors the federate
    - lookahead: lookahead used by the HLA for synchronization -->
    <Federate id="SimulationBridge1" isTimeConstrained="false" isTimeRegulating="false" ip="192.168.0.2" CETSid="CETSslave" lookahead="0.1">
      <!-- Definition of Hardware In The Loop ED.
      there can be more than one.
      - id: ED identifier
      - ip: ED ip address for communication
      - maxCommLatency: Maximum latency for communication between simulation bridge and end device (in ms). Used for calculation of time for NextMessageRequest -->
      <HIL id="EndDevice1" ip="192.168.0.4" maxCommLatency="100">
        <!-- Interactions which the federate is subscribed/published.
        All HIL devices have an interaction for the receiving Ethernet frames, an interaction form sending Ethernet frames, an interaction for each input variables and an interaction for each output variables -->
        <Interactions>
          <!-- Interaction definition
          - id: Interaction identifier
```
- type: defines if the federate publishes or subscribes to the interaction -->
  <!-- Ethernet framework interactions-->
  <Interaction
    id="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1" type="published"/>
  <Interaction
    id="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge2_ConsintSwitch1" type="subscribed"/>
  <!-- Input variable interactions-->
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_1" type="published"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_2" type="published"/>
  <!-- Output variable interactions-->
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1" type="subscribed"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_2" type="subscribed"/>
  </Interactions>
  <!-- I/O definition for HIL
  This definition is only incorporated in federates that have I/O communication -->
  <I_O>
    <!-- Input and Output definition
    - id: Input identifier
    - type: defines if the I/O is analog or digital
    - dataType: defines the data type of the I/O variable
    - interactionId: defines the interaction use to send or receive the variable value
    - port: defines the port associated to the I/O
    - samplingTime (only for inputs): defines the sampling time of the input
    - threshold (only for analog inputs): define the minimal differences between the current and previous value for an interaction to be triggered -->
    <Input id="1" name="EndDevice1_I/O1" type="analog"
      dataType="uint8_t" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_1"
      port="ai1" samplingTime="300" threshold="5"/>
    <Input id="2" name="EndDevice1_I/O2" type="digital"
      dataType="bool" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_2"
      port="di1" samplingTime="100"/>
    <Output id="3" name="EndDevice1_I/O3" type="analog"
      dataType="uint8_t" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1"
      port="ao1"/>
    <Output id="4" name="EndDevice1_I/O4" type="digital"
      dataType="bool" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_2"
      port="do1"/>
  </I_O>
  </HIL>
  ...
  <!-- Definition of Simulated ED.
  there can be more than one.
  - id: ED identifier
  - ip: ED ip address for communication-->
  <SimulationTool id="EndDevice2" ip="192.168.0.5">
    <!-- Interactions which the federate is subscribed/published. -->
    All Simulation tool devices have an interaction for the receiving Ethernet frames, an interaction form sending Ethernet frames, an interaction for each input variables, an interaction for each output variables, an interaction to receive commands and information from the SFTS and sent information to the SFTS -->
<Interactions>
  <!-- Interaction definition
    - id: Interaction identifier
    - type: defines if the federate publishes or subscribes to the interaction -->
  <Interaction id="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2" type="published"/>
  <Interaction id="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge2_ConsintSwitch2" type="subscribed"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1" type="published"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_2" type="published"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_1" type="subscribed"/>
  <Interaction id="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_2" type="subscribed"/>
  <Interaction id="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge3_SFTS" type="subscribed"/>
  <Interaction id="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2" type="published"/>
</Interactions>

<!-- I/O definition for Simulation Tool
  This definition is only incorporated in federates that have I/O communication
  - id: Input identifier
  - type: defines if the I/O is analog or digital
  - dataType: defines the data type of the I/O variable
  - interactionId: defines the interaction use to send or receive the variable value
  - real: defines if the SimulationBridge has a real I/O board or if the value of the I/O is transmitted using a Ethernet frame
  - port (only for real I/O): defines the port associated to the I/O-->

  <Input id="1" name="EndDevice2_I/O1" type="analog" dataType="uint8_t" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1" real="false"/>
  <Input id="2" name="EndDevice2_I/O2" type="digital" dataType="bool" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_2" real="true" port="di1"/>
  <Output id="3" name="EndDevice2_I/O3" type="analog" dataType="uint16_t" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_1" real="false" />
  <Output id="4" name="EndDevice2_I/O4" type="digital" dataType="bool" interactionId="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_2" real="true" port="do1"/>
</I_O>

<!-- Definition of synchronization and communication commands.
  This element only appears in Simulation Tools that do not implement the FMI standard.
  It defines the different commands use by the SB to communicate and synchronize the execution of a simulated ED-->
- bigEndian: defines if the values send/received during the I/O communication follows the big endian (true) or little endian (false) structure -->

```xml
<CommandSet bigEndian="true">
 <!-- Do Step command definition -->
   Ask the Simulation Tool to run up to the specified time
   - commandId: defines the identifier that characterized the do step command messages
   - dataType: defines the data type use for specifying the step time
   - timeUnit: define the type unit use in the step time
 -->
   <DoStep commandId="33" dataType="uint64_t" timeUnit="millisecond"/>
 <!-- Step finish command definition -->
   The Simulation Tools informs that the specified time has been reach
   - commandId: defines the identifier that characterized the step finish command messages -->
   <StepFinish commandId="34"/>
 <!-- Data Message Traffic definition -->
   Used for encapsulating Ethernet messages.
   If the Simulation tool does not require the encapsulation of the Ethernet message this element should not be defined.
   - commandId: defines the identifier that characterized the Ethernet messages data. -->
   <DataMessageTraffic commandId="45"/>
 <!-- I/O request command definition -->
   Ask the Simulation Tool of the value of a specific I/O.
   If the Simulation tool does not provide I/O values via Ethernet communication this element should not be defined.
   - commandId: defines the identifier that characterized the I/O request command messages -->
   <I_Orequest commandId="38"/>
 <!-- I/O Message Traffic definition -->
   Used for encapsulating I/O values.
   If the Simulation tool does not provide I/O values via Ethernet communication this element should not be defined.
   - commandId: defines the identifier that characterized the I/O messages data. -->
   <I_OMessageTraffic commandId="48"/>
</CommandSet>
</SimulationTool>
```

<!-- FMI configuration -->
If FMI is used to connect a simulation, the simulation and additional data such as its FMI description file are encapsulated as FMU. During the simulation execution, the FMU is extracted to a temporary folder in the same location. An empty string signals that FMI is not used. -->

```xml
<FMI>
 <!-- FMU path: Path where the simulation’s FMU is located-->
   <FMU path="../FMI/EndDevice3/">
</FMU>
</SimulationTool>
```
<!-- Definition of the message schedule
-id: Identifier of the end device the schedule is related to.
There might be multiple schedules, one for each device or simulation-->
<MessageSchedule id="EndDevice2">
  <!-- Message Schedule
  Message schedule is used to detect if a message is delayed
  and to inject an estimated message if state-estimation is enabled -->
  <!-- Time-triggered messages
  - MsgID: Message identifier (HLA interaction ID)
  - SenderID: Sender ED identifier
  - period: defines the period of the TT message
  - offset: defines the offset in the period when the
  message is changed
  - length: defines the length of the message
  - type: defines if the Ethernet frame is sent or received by the device-->
  <TTmessage MsgID="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2" SenderID="EndDevice2" period="1" offset="1" length="1000" type="send"/>
  <TTmessage MsgID="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge2_ConsentSwitch2" SenderID="EndDevice3" period="0" offset="1010" length="1010" type="receive"/>
  <TTmessage MsgID="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1" SenderID="EndDevice2" period="0" offset="3" length="100" type="send"/>
  <TTmessage MsgID="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_2" SenderID="EndDevice2" period="0" offset="5" length="100" type="send"/>
  <TTmessage MsgID="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_1" SenderID="EndDevice3" period="0" offset="7" length="150" type="receive"/>
  <TTmessage MsgID="IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice1_2" SenderID="EndDevice3" period="0" offset="9" length="150" type="receive"/>
  </TTmessages>
  <!-- Rate-constrained messages
  - MsgID: Message identifier (HLA interaction ID)
  - SenderID: Sender ED identifier
  - rate: defines the rate with which the message is
  sent. Implicitly defines the Minimum Inter-arrival Time (MINT)
  - length: defines the length of the message
  - maxINT: defines the Maximum Inter-arrival Time before
  which the message should be received
  - probability: defines the probability the message is
  received since RC message are not necessarily sent. If the message is sent, the value can be ignored.
  - type: defines if the Ethernet frame is sent or received by the device-->
  <RCmessage MsgID="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge3_SPTS" SenderID="EndDevice3" rate="64" length="50" maxINT="1000" probability="0.5" type="receive"/>
  <RCmessage MsgID="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2" SenderID="EndDevice2" rate="64" length="50" maxINT="1000" probability="1" type="send"/>
  </RCmessages>
</MessageSchedule>

...
The task schedule is used to detect if all messages sent from the related end device are received in the simulation bridge. This enables the invocation of the NextMessageRequest-service in the co-simulation subsystem -->

```xml
<PeriodicTasks>
  <!-- Periodic tasks
  - taskID: Task identifier
  - period: defines the period of the task
  - offset: defines the offset in the period when the task starts
  - WCET: defines the Worst-Case-Execution-Time of the task
  - msgs: denotes all messages which are sent by the task in the related order-->
  <PeriodicTask taskID="Task1" period="1" offset="0">
    WCET="5"
    msgs="EthernetMessage_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2,IO_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2_1"/>
</PeriodicTasks>

<SporadicTasks>
  <!-- Sporadic tasks
  - taskID: Task identifier
  - rate: defines the rate with which the task is executed. Implicitely defines the Minimum Inter-arrival Time (MINT)
  - WCET: defines the Worst-Case-Execution-Time of the task
  - msgs: denotes all messages which are sent by the task in the related order
  - triggerType: defines if the trigger for the task’s execution is a message or the termination of a task
  - trigger: denotes the ID of the triggering task or message-->
  <SporadicTask taskID="Task2" rate="64" WCET="3">
    msgs="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge1_EndDevice2" triggerType="msg"
    trigger="SFTSCommunication_SimulationBridge3_SFTS"/>
</SporadicTasks>
</TaskSchedule>

<!-- Definition of the delay manager
-maxDrift: defines the maximum difference between the wall-clock time of the HIL device (the time the simulation is running on the device) and the logical time of the CESB
-maxDelay: defines the maximum delay before a message has to be received by the HIL device-->
<DelayManagement maxDrift="1000" maxDelay="1000"/>

<!-- Definition of the state-estimation
-enabled: defines if the state-estimation is enabled
-fmuPath: defines where the FMU of the State-Estimation functionality is located. Can be ignored if the state-estimation is disabled-->
<StateEstimation enabled="true"
  fmuPath="../FMI/StateEstimation/"/>
</DelayManagement>
</Federation>
```
<!-- Contains the information regarding the HLA Federation Object Model file. The structure of this file can be found in -->

```xml
<FOM>
  <!-- The FOM file has been defined in Section 4.2.1.1.5 -->
  <FomFile path="UserInterface"/>
  <MimFile path="UserInterface"/>
</FOM>

<!-- Definition of the synchronization points -->

```xml
<SynchronizationPoints host="UserInterface">
  <!-- Synchronization point information -->
  <SynchronizationPoint id="Configuration">
    <!-- Federates which are affected by the synchronization point -->
    <ManageFederate federateId="UserInterface"/>
    <ManageFederate federateId="EndDevice1"/>
  </SynchronizationPoint>
</SynchronizationPoints>

<!-- Definition of the network emulator -->

```xml
<NetworkEmulator>
  <!-- Control Gate List (CGL) is specified for each device’s egress port and defines at each instance of time which queue is eligible to transmit traffic. -->
  <CGL num_CGR="2">
    <!-- Control Gate Rows -->
    <CGR start_time="0" end_time="100" queue_mask="01111111"/>
    <CGR start_time="100" end_time="300" queue_mask="10000000"/>
    <CGR start_time="300" end_time="600" queue_mask="01111111"/>
  </CGL>

  <!-- TT streams specification is defined for each device in network simulator subsystem and based on these information traffic categorized to TT and non-TT flows -->
  <TT_streams num_TT="1">
    <!-- TT stream parameters -->
    <TT source_port="600" phase="600" period_duration="1000" transmission_period="200" vlan_id="20"/>
  </TT_streams>
</NetworkEmulator>
```

<!-- Destination ports For a TT flow, the path from sender to receivers is specified at configuration state, This parameter list egress ports for the TT flow. -->
- num_dest_ports: Number of egress ports
- port_id: Id of destination port

```xml
<dest_ports num_dest_ports="1">
  <port id="10"/>
</dest_ports>
</TT>
</TT_streams>
<!-- Definition of the switch configuration -->
</SwitchConfiguration>

<node name="TSN_switch1" min_match_score="strict matching" ignore_questions="true" model="ethernet16_switch_adv_tsn">
  <ext-attr name="config_file" type="string">
    <default-value value=""/>
  </ext-attr>
  <ext-attr name="CGL_file" type="string"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters.count" value="1"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters.count" value="1"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].QoS Support" value="Enabled" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port QoS Scheme" value="Strict Priority" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration.count" value="8"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Priority" value="0"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Mapped User Priority Values.count" value="1"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Mapped User Priority Values [0].User Priority" value="0 (Best Effort) - Default Priority" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Priority Queue" value="Yes" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Weight" value="20"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [0].Maximum Queue Size" value="1000"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Priority" value="1"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Mapped User Priority Values.count" value="1"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Mapped User Priority Values [0].User Priority" value="1 (Background)" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Priority Queue" value="Yes" symbolic="true"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Weight" value="40"/>
  <attr name="Bridge Parameters [0].QoS Parameters [0].Default Port Queue Configuration [1].Maximum Queue Size" value="1000"/>
  <attr name="Switch Port Configuration.count" value="1"/>
</node>
</node>
```
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7.3 Configurator Subsystem (Master/Slave)

The configurator subsystem (master/slave) is located in the CETS<sub>master</sub> and the CETS<sub>slave</sub>. The one which is located in the CETS<sub>master</sub> receives the configuration file from the user to configure the system, while the others are requested by the Central PC to send their configuration file. The different states in the model, shown in Figure 22, are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- Configure cmd received: The Configure command and the configuration file is received.
- Configuration file sent: The Communication subsystem has been told to open the connection and the configuration file has been sent to the CETS<sub>slave</sub>. 

```xml
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [0].Identifier (VID)" value="20"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [0].Name" value="VLAN_20"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [0].Tagging" value="Send Tagged" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Identifier (VID)" value="10"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Name" value="VLAN_10"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Cost" value="Same as Port" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Priority" value="Same as Port" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Tagging" value="Send Tagged" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].VLAN Parameters [1].Learning Mode" value="Enable-Forward" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].QoS Parameters.count" value="1"/>
<attr name="Switch Port Configuration [0].QoS Parameters [0].Port User Priority" value="0 (Best Effort)" symbolic="true"/>
<attr name="CGL_file" value="CGL"/>

<!-- Definition of the link configuration -->

<LinkConfiguration>
  <link name="TSN_switch3 - Server 2" min_match_score="strict matching" ignore_questions="true" model="100Gbps_Ethernet" destNode="Server 2" srcNode="TSN_switch3" class="duplex">
    <attr name="transmitter a" value="TSN_switch3.hub_tx_1"/>
    <attr name="receiver a" value="TSN_switch3.hub_rx_1"/>
    <attr name="transmitter b" value="Server 2.hub_tx_0_0"/>
    <attr name="receiver b" value="Server 2.hub_rx_0_0"/>
    <attr name="doc file" value="nt_link"/>
    <attr name="tooltip" value="Ethernet 100Gbps Link"/>
  </link>
</LinkConfiguration>
</CommunicationEmulator>
```
Error reported: An error has been detected in the configuration. Errors could occur because another master has started the system or because the configuration file is not correct. This is reported to the UI subsystem.

Configure file sent: The CETS\textsubscript{c} has requested the configuration file to the CETS\textsubscript{slave}s. This configuration file contains the information of the CE\textsubscript{SB} manage by the CETS\textsubscript{slave}. The file has been sent to the CETS\textsubscript{c}.

Controlled CE\textsubscript{SB}s settled (master): The CETS\textsubscript{c} has informed the CETS\textsubscript{master} which CE\textsubscript{SB}s is going to control.

Controlled CE\textsubscript{SB}s settled (slave): The CETS\textsubscript{c} has informed the CETS\textsubscript{slave} which CE\textsubscript{SB}s is going to control.

Configuration information sent to the CE\textsubscript{SB}: The configuration information has been sent to the CE\textsubscript{SB}s associated to the CETS\textsubscript{slave}.

Configured and running: The whole system has been configured and it is running now, this new state has been reported to the UI subsystem.

Fault injection reset: The fault reset command has been received and it has been routed to the corresponding CETS\textsubscript{c}.

Fault injection started: The fault injection command has been received and it has been routed to the corresponding CETS\textsubscript{c}.

Stop cmd received: The Stop command has been received and sent to the CETS\textsubscript{c}. The subsystem waits in this state system to be stopped and to report it.

Reconfigure cmd received: The CETS\textsubscript{master} has received the reconfigure command and it has sent it to the CETS\textsubscript{c}.

Error reported: An error because the configuration file is not correct has been detected. This has been reported to the UI subsystem.
The configurator subsystem (master/slave) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration. Figure 47 and Figure 49.
- Use case 2: Reconfiguration. Figure 50 and Figure 51.
• Use case 8: Configuration data request. Figure 59.
• Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
• Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61 and Figure 62
• Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63 and Figure 64

7.4 Configuration Subsystem

The configuration subsystem is in the Central PC and in the different CE_SBs. The configuration subsystem receives the information from the Configurator subsystem of the CETSs and configures the system accordingly. The dynamic model of the configuration subsystem is shown in Figure 23. The states are delved below:

• Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
• Configuration Information received: The configuration information send by the corresponding CETS has been received.
• CE subsystems have been configured: The CE_SBs have been configured.
• Configured and running: The whole system has been configured and it is running.
• Stop cmd received: The Stop command has been received and it has been sent to the other subsystem
• Subsystem stopped: All subsystems have been stopped and the corresponding CETS has been informed.
Figure 23. Dynamic model of the Configuration Subsystem.

The configuration subsystem is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration (scenario 1). Figure 47.
- Use case 2: Reconfiguration (scenario 1). Figure 50.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.

### 7.5 Monitoring Subsystem (Simulation Bridge)

The monitoring subsystem (simulation bridge) monitors the interactions in the CE\textsubscript{SB} when it is told to do so. It sends the monitoring data to the user or storage it in a file which sends once the simulation is stopped. The different states in the model are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- Configured and running: The whole system has been configured and it is waiting for an interaction.
- Interaction data sent: Interaction data has been sent to the corresponding CETS (the data is routed by the CETS\textsubscript{c} when sent to the CETS\textsubscript{master}).
- Data stored in the monitoring file: The interaction data has been stored into the monitoring file.
- Monitoring file sent: The monitoring file has been sent to the corresponding CETS (the data is routed by the CETS\textsubscript{c} when sent to the CETS\textsubscript{master}).
Figure 24. Dynamic model of the Monitoring (Simulation Bridge) Subsystem.

The monitoring subsystem (simulation bridge) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
- Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.

7.6 Monitoring Subsystem (Central)

The monitoring subsystem (central) routes the commands and the monitoring data between the CETS\textsubscript{master} and the different CE\textsubscript{SB}s in the simulation. The different states in the model are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user to configure it.
- Configured and running: The whole system has been configured and it is running now.
- Command sent to the corresponding CE\textsubscript{SB}: The CETS\textsubscript{master} monitoring commands has been routed to the corresponding CE\textsubscript{SB}.
- Data sent to the CETS\textsubscript{master}: The CE\textsubscript{SB} monitoring commands has been routed to the CETS\textsubscript{master}. 
The monitoring subsystem (central) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
- Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.

### 7.7 Monitoring Subsystem (Master/Slave)

This subsystem is in charge of managing the monitoring in the CE<sub>SB</sub>. The subsystem in the CETS<sub>master</sub> sends the commands to the Central PC to be routed to the CE<sub>SB</sub>, while the CETS<sub>slave</sub>s send the commands directly to the CE<sub>SB</sub>s. The different states in the model are:

- **Started**: The subsystem has been started and waits for the reception of a monitoring command.
- **Data sent to the UI**: The monitored data/file provided by the CE<sub>SB</sub> has been sent to the UI subsystem.
- **Command sent to the CE<sub>SB</sub>**: In the case of a CETS<sub>slave</sub>, the monitoring command has been sent directly to the CE<sub>SB</sub>s.
- **Send command to the CETS<sub>c</sub>**: In the case of CETS<sub>master</sub>, the monitoring commands have been sent to the CETS<sub>c</sub> to be routed to the corresponding CE<sub>SB</sub>.
The monitoring subsystem (master/slave) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
- Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.

### 7.8 Communication Subsystem (Central)

This subsystem is in charge of managing the communication of the central PC. The subsystems in the central PC send the commands to the communication subsystem to be routed to the corresponding $\text{CETS}_{\text{master/slave}}$ or $\text{CE}_{\text{SB}}$. The different states in the model are:

- Started: The subsystem has been started and is waiting for the reception of a communication command, interaction or socket messages.
- Data sent to $\text{CETS}_C$: The data received via the socket has been sent to the corresponding subsystem of the $\text{CETS}_C$.
- Data sent to the co-simulation subsystem: The interaction data has been sent to the co-simulation subsystem.
- Data sent via heterogeneous network: A socket message or an interaction message has been transferred to other PC that contains the $\text{CE}_{\text{SB}}$, $\text{CETS}_{\text{slave}}$ or $\text{CETS}_{\text{master}}$.
- Connection check: The state of the VPN and Socket has been checked.
- Connection closed: The VPN and Socket connection have been closed.
- Establish secure tunnel: Provides the secure connection between elements. The different steps used for establishing the secure connection are:
o Request_connection message sent: A request connection message has been sent to the \( CE_{SB} \) and the \( CETS_{slave} \).

o Client_hello message received: The client_hello message that contains the SSL version, cipher settings and session-specific data has been received.

o Server_hello message sent: The cipher setting, session-specific data, server's certificate including public key (PK) have been sent to the \( CE_{SB} \) or \( CETS_{master/slave} \) with whom the secure channel has been established.

o Cipher message received: The message containing the generated cipher has been received.

o Pre-master secret decrypted: The pre-master secret transferred in the cipher message has been decrypted.

o Session key generated: The session key based on the master secret has been generated.

o Acknowledge message sent: The acknowledge message has been sent to the \( CE_{SB} \) or \( CETS_{master/slave} \) with the Encryption/Session key.

o Acknowledge message received and check: The acknowledge message has been received and the Encryption/Session key has been checked.

o Socket connection created: A socket connection with the communication subsystem of the \( CE_{SB} \), \( CETS_{master} \) or \( CETS_{slave} \) has been created.
Figure 27. Dynamic model of the Communication (Central) Subsystem.

The communication subsystem (central) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration. Figure 47 and Figure 49.
Use case 2: Reconfiguration. Figure 50 and Figure 51.
Use case 3: SFTS commands. Figure 52.
Use case 4: Ethernet interaction. Figure 53.
Use case 5: I/O interaction. Figure 54.
Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
Use case 8: Configuration data request. Figure 59.
Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61
Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63

7.9 Communication Subsystem (Master/Slave, Simulation Bridge)

This subsystem is in charge of managing the communication of the CEST_{master/slave} and CE_{SB}. The subsystems in the CETS_{master/slave} and CE_{SB} route all their communications using the communication subsystem.

The different states in the model are:

- Started: The subsystem has been started and is waiting for the reception of a communication command, interaction or socket messages.
- Data sent to configuration/monitoring subsystem: The data received via the socket has been send to the configuration or monitoring subsystem.
- Data sent to the co-simulation subsystem: The interaction data has been sent to the co-simulation subsystem.
- Data sent via heterogeneous network: A socket message or an interaction message has been transferred to the central PC or the CETS_{slave}.
- Connection check: The state of the VPN and Socket has been check.
- Connection closed: The VPN and Socket connection have been closed.
- Establish secure tunnel: Provides the secure connection between elements. The different steps used for establishing the secure connection are:
  - Client_hello message sent: The message containing the SSL version, cipher settings and session-specific data has been sent to the central PC.
  - Server_hello message received: The server VPN information regarding the cipher setting, session-specific data and server's certificate including public key (PK) have been received via the server_hello message.
  - Server's certificate authenticated: The certificate of the central PC has been checked.
  - Pre-master secret created: The pre-master secret key for the communication has been created.
  - Cipher generated: The pre-master secret key has been created and generated.
  - Cipher message sent: The cipher has been sent to the central PC.
  - Session key generated: The session key based on the master secret has been generated.
o Acknowledge message sent: The acknowledge message containing the session key has been sent to the central PC.

o Acknowledge message received: The acknowledge message has been received and the Encryption/Session key has been checked.

o Socket connected: The subsystem has created a socket connection with the Central PC. In the case of CETS_{slave}s and CEsSs controlled by a CETS_{slave}, the corresponding socket connections (which connect both elements) have also been generated.
Figure 28. Dynamic model of the Communication (Master/Slave, Simulation Bridge) Subsystem.

The communication subsystem (master/slave, simulation bridge) is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration. Figure 47 and Figure 49.
- Use case 2: Reconfiguration. Figure 50 and Figure 51.
- Use case 3: SFTS commands. Figure 52.
- Use case 4: Ethernet interaction. Figure 53.
- Use case 5: I/O interaction. Figure 54.
• Use case 6: Monitoring/measurements start. Figure 55 and Figure 56.
• Use case 7: Monitoring/measurements stop. Figure 57 and Figure 58.
• Use case 8: Configuration data request. Figure 59.
• Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
• Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61 and Figure 62
• Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63 and Figure 64

7.10 Wrapper Subsystem

This subsystem is in charge of linking the real and simulated ED to the overall system. This is done by collecting and providing Ethernet, FMI and I/O data and repackaging them into RTI interactions which are sent via the co-simulation subsystem; as well as taking the RTI interaction provided by the co-simulation subsystem and transforming them to the corresponding Ethernet, FMI and I/O data used by the EDs.

The behaviour of the wrapper subsystem varies depending in if the ED is a simulation tool or a HIL, or if the ED has I/Os or not. The different behaviours are delved below.

In the case a simulation tool do not follow the FMI standard, a set of user defined commands can be used to interact with it. The corresponding commands are defined as part of the configuration file.

7.10.1 Wrapper Subsystem for Simulation Tools with I/O as FMI variables

This wrapper corresponds to the one used for a simulation tool which has simulated I/Os. The I/Os are transmitted to the CESBs as a variable FMI, encapsulated into an Ethernet frame.

The different states in the model are:

• Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
• Configuration command received: The subsystem has received a configuration command and the configuration file.
• Configured and Running: The subsystem has been configured and is waiting for a command.
• Monitoring start or stop received: The system has received a command to start or stop the monitoring according to the configuration. After starting or stopping, the system returns to the Running state.
• Interaction data transformed: A DoStep command has been received from the co-simulation subsystem. The received interactions are converted to input data according to the provided protocol. The messages are represented as FMI (or user defined) data types.
• Input data sent: The input data has been sent to the simulation tool via FMI (or using the user defined commands).
• DoStep sent: The DoStep function has been triggered using the FMI interface (or using the user defined commands). This function performs a simulation step in the simulation. The simulation invokes the StepFinished function if the step has finished.
• Output data received: If the simulation step has finished, the output data (messages and monitoring data) from the simulation have been obtain.
• Output data transformed: The output data has been converted to message interactions (messages) and SFTC monitoring interactions (monitoring data).
Interaction sent: The different interactions have been sent to the delay management and monitoring subsystems.

Figure 29. Dynamic model of the Wrapper Subsystem for Simulation Tools.

7.10.2 Wrapper Subsystem for Simulation Tools with real I/O

This subsystem is in charge of managing the I/O interactions of a Simulation Tool. When a DoStep is received, from the co-simulation subsystem, it transforms the I/O interaction into an I/O for the ED and when a Step Finished is received the value of the I/O is collected, validated and sent as an I/O interaction to the co-simulation subsystem.

The different states in the model are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user to configure it.
- Configured and running: The subsystem has been configured and it is waiting for a DoStep or Step Finished command.
- I/O interaction transformed: An I/O interaction have been transformed into I/O values.
- I/O value changed: The new value received from the interaction has been modified in the I/O board of the CE<sub>SB</sub>.
- I/O value measured and checked: The I/O values has been validated in order to determine if a new interaction needs to be sent. In the case of a digital input an interaction is sent when a change on its value is detected. On the other hand, an interaction of an analog input is triggered when the difference between the previous and new value exceeds its threshold (defined in the configuration file).
- I/O interaction sent: The I/O interaction containing the new value has been sent.
7.10.3 Wrapper Subsystem for HIL

This wrapper is used to connect a HIL to the CE. No I/Os inputs/outputs are supported, only Ethernet frames. Also, it is valid for simulation tools which do not support DoStep or StepFinished commands.

The different states in the model are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- Configuration command received: The subsystem has received a configuration command and the configuration file.
- Configured and Running: The sub-system has been configured and waiting for a command.
- Ctrl CMD forwarded: The system has received a command to control the HIL device. The command has been forwarded and if it is executed successfully, the system returns to the Running state.
- Monitoring CMD received: The system has received a command to start or stop the monitoring according to the configuration. After starting or stopping, the system returns to the Running state.
- Interaction received: An interaction has been received from the fault-injection subsystem and converted into messages for the ED according to the provided protocol.
- Message forwarded to ED: The message has been sent to the ED via Ethernet.
• Ethernet message received: An Ethernet message from the ED has been received and converted into a related message interactions and monitoring interactions.

• Interaction sent: The interaction has been sent to the co-simulation, delay management and mentoring subsystems.

![Figure 31. Dynamic model of the Wrapper Subsystem for HIL.](image)

### 7.10.4 Wrapper Subsystem for HIL with I/O

This subsystem is in charge of managing the IO interactions of a HIL ED. When an IO interaction is received, from the co-simulation subsystem, it is transformed into an I/O for the ED and when an I/O variation is detected the value is sent as an I/O interaction to the co-simulation subsystem. Also, it is valid for simulation tools which do not support DoStep or StepFinished commands.

The different states in the model are:

- Not configured: The system is waiting for an external user to configure it.
- Configured and running: The sub-system has been configured and it is waiting for an interaction or to reach the sampling time of an I/O.
- I/O interaction received: An I/O interaction has been received from the delay manager subsystem.
- I/O value changed: The new value received from the interaction has been modified in the I/O board of the CESB.
- I/O value measured and checked: The sampling time of an I/O has been reached and its value sampled. This sampling determines if a new interaction needs to be sent. In
the case of a digital input an interaction is sent when a change on its value is detected. On the other hand, an interaction of an analog input is triggered when the difference between the previous and the new value exceeds its threshold (defined in the configuration file).

- I/O interaction sent: The I/O interaction containing the new value has been sent.

![Dynamic model of the Wrapper Subsystem for HIL with I/O.](image)

**7.11 Delay Manager Subsystem**

### 7.11.1 Delay-Management concept

In hard real-time systems it is necessary to satisfy all deadlines since otherwise there might be catastrophic consequences. To test the behaviour of those systems it is therefore important to provide the required messages in time. Since the Internet introduces delays and jitter which is indeterministic, the end device connected to the simulation bridge might not receive the data it requires in time. The delay management subsystem manages the delays to provide the best possible accuracy of the simulation.

Common message types in embedded systems are Time-Triggered (TT), Rate-Constrained (RC) and Best-Effort (BE) messages. TT messages are fully temporally specified by period, offset and length. Time-triggered protocols may support multiple periods in the schedule and in each period an offset can be specified when the message needs to be sent.

RC messages have less constraints on the sending time than the TT. The messages have a defined maximum rate with which they may be generated. The minimum time between two instances of frame \( f \) is \( (f\cdot\text{rate})^{-1} \) (called Minimum Inter-arrival Time, MINT) but there is no upper bound defined. If a faulty sender injects messages with a higher rate, the messages are dropped to provide the correctness of the system.
Based on the temporal constraints, the delay management subsystem can determine the instant when the end device requires the reception of a message. For TT messages, the instant of time is defined by the message schedule while BE messages do not have any temporal bounds. Hence, BE messages are not estimated but forwarded when they arrive. If TT messages do not arrive in time, they are estimated.

In case of rate-constrained messages, determining the instant of reception is not possible with the parameters defined above since there is no upper bound and the messages are not necessarily sent. To handle the message type in the delay management, a Maximum Inter-arrival Time (MaxINT) and a Reception Probability are introduced. In combination with the MINT, MaxINT determines the time interval after the last reception in which the next RC frame instance should be received by the end device. If not, the delay management will inject an estimated RC frame if the reception probability fits.

The Delay-Management Subsystem continuously checks the bounds and decides if a message needs to be delivered to the end device. If the required message is received by the Co-Simulation Subsystem, it is forwarded to the device. Otherwise, the message is taken from the State-Estimation functionality if this system is enabled. In the latter case, the Simulation Bridge logs the estimated message, the real message as soon as it arrives and the time of injection so that the user can decide if the simulation results are appropriate. It is further possible to disable the State-Estimation functionality. Then the delay management determines the delay and signals the SFTS to stop the simulation if the delay is too large.

If the Simulation Bridge connects a real end device to the rest of the simulation, there are different time bases. The HLA realizing the communication and synchronization of the different end devices is based on a logical time while a real end device works with a real, physical time. Hence, there must be a synchronization mechanism between the simulation bridge and the end device.

In the Delay-Management Subsystem, the device's current physical time is stored as a real-time image. This image is updated periodically by using a time-stamp included into the messages sent from the end device. If the number of messages sent does not provide a sufficient synchronization granularity, the end device can further send explicit synchronization messages (e.g. using the IEEE 1588 standard). In between, the real-time image is updated based on the physical time of the node on which the Simulation Bridge is executed.

It shall also be possible to connect existing real end devices to the simulation bridge. Those devices may not include time-stamps or send explicit messages for synchronization. In this case and if JTAG is supported, the interface can be used to read the device’s internal state. If the end device does not provide any information which can be used, it is not possible to synchronize it with other end devices or simulation tools.

### 7.11.2 Delay-Management Model

The resulting different states in the subsystem model are:

- **Wait for configuration**: The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- **Configuration command received**: If the system received a configuration command and the configuration file is valid, the system and the simulation are configured in this state.
- **Configured and Running with StateEstimation**: If the configuration was successful and the state-estimation is enabled, the system changes to this state. It is running and waiting for a command
- **Check Message Availability**: As soon as the next instant for a message arrival is reached, the system checks if a message is available from the co-simulation subsystem for the related instant of time. The instants are stored in a list which is updated during the simulation execution to contain the correct times
• MSG from CoSimulation: If a message is available in the co-simulation subsystem, the system changes to this state.

• MSG from StateEstimation: If there is no message available from the co-simulation subsystem, the next message has to be estimated in this state.

• Provide MSG to Wrapper with StateEstimation: The received/estimated message is passed to the wrapper subsystem in this state. Afterwards, the system returns to the Running state.

• Get MSG from Wrapper: If the HIL device attempts to send a message, it sends the message via Ethernet to the wrapper. The wrapper forwards the message to the Delay-Management which changes to this state.

• Check Timestamp: The Delay-Management checks the time-stamp provided in the message and updates the real-time image of the end device’s physical time.

• Stop Simulation: There are two cases when the system changes to this state: (1) If the state-estimation is enabled and the time-stamp exceeds the maximum drift ($\Delta_{\text{max}}$) between the time in the message (real-time in the HIL device) and the current logical time of the federation and (2) if the state-estimation is disabled and the input message is received after the maximum delay. In both cases the simulation has to be stopped.

• Provide MSG to CoSimulation and StateEstimation: If the state-estimation is enabled and the time-stamp is within the supported drift, the message is forwarded to the Co-Simulation subsystem and State-Estimation functionality to be sent to the other federates and to update the state in the State-Estimation. Afterwards, the system returns to the Running state.

• Configured and Running without StateEstimation: If the configuration was successful and the state-estimation is disabled, the system changes to this state. It is running and waiting for a command.

• Provide MSG to Wrapper without StateEstimation: The message received before the maximum delay has passed is forwarded to the wrapper subsystem in this state. Afterwards, the system returns to the Running state.

• Provide MSG to CoSimulation: If the state-estimation is disabled and the time-stamp is within the supported drift, the message is forwarded to the CoSimulation subsystem to be sent to the other federates and to update the state in the StateEstimation. Afterwards, the system returns to the Running state.
### 7.11.3 State-Estimation Functionality

Providing the required input data for the end system even if the latencies introduced by the network are too high to transmit the messages in time is the purpose of the State-Estimation functionality. It estimates the future inputs based on the messages received during the simulation execution and an estimation model.

To estimate future inputs, the subsystem requires knowledge of the data available in the end device and the content of the messages received. Using this knowledge, the State-Estimation functionality can aggregate the state of the required inputs during the simulation execution. This state is called the **Real State** of the input. Based on it and the estimation model, the subsystem estimates the required inputs for instances when a message could not be delivered in time. Hence, the state prepared this way is called **Estimated State**.

During the simulation execution, the Delay-Management Subsystem provides all messages received to the State-Estimation functionality. Using the knowledge about its content, the message is analysed and the real state is updated even if the message arrives too late. The estimated state is always calculated based on the current real state using a model. Often statistical models or filters are used for the estimation such as Kalman, H∞ or particle filters.

The knowledge the State-Estimation functionality requires depends on the system which is simulated. Therefore, the system is designed as a black box. It uses the interface based on FMI which is defined in Table 3 and provided by the Delay-Management Subsystem. The
explanation of the table is similar to the one for Table 1. If a new message is received and provided to the State-Estimation functionality, the DoStep-Function of FMI is called. Using the current time and the step size provided as parameters, the real state is updated and all estimated messages until the end of the step are calculated. If the Delay-Management Subsystem requires a message, it sets the message ID and gets the related estimated message using the FMI get and set functions. The State-Estimation Subsystem has to be implemented by the application developer, in Safe4RAIL only the API, the dynamic behaviour and the configuration are defined. If there is no state-estimation required, it can be disabled using the configuration file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Data-type</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input MSG</td>
<td>FmiString</td>
<td>DM to SE</td>
<td>Used to provide the message received in the co-simulation subsystem to the State-Estimation functionality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next MSG ID</td>
<td>FmiInt</td>
<td>DM to SE</td>
<td>ID of the next message which has to be forwarded to the end device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated MSG</td>
<td>FmiString</td>
<td>SE to DM</td>
<td>The requested estimated message with NextMsgId</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error too large</td>
<td>FmiBool</td>
<td>SE to DM</td>
<td>Denotes if the error between the estimation and the real state is too large and the simulation should be stopped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Interface for the State-Estimation functionality

The different states in the dynamic model are:

- **Wait for configuration:** The system is waiting for an external user for configuration.
- **Configuration command received:** If the system received a configuration command and the configuration file is valid, the system and the simulation are configured in this state.
- **Configured and Running:** If the configuration was successful, the system changes to this state. It is running and waiting for a command.
- **Update Real State:** If the Delay-Management Subsystem provides a message and triggers the update, the message is analysed and the real state is updated.
- **Get Estimated MSG:** If the Delay-Management Subsystem requests a message for forwarding it to the end device, this state calculates the estimated message and returns it.
7.12 Fault injection Subsystem

This subsystem is in charge of introducing the faults into the CE_{SB} when it is told to do so. The different states in the model are:

- **Not configured**: The system is waiting for the fault injection to be introduced. If an interaction is received it will be transmitted directly to the Wrapper subsystem.
- **Interaction transmitted**: the interaction has been sent to the Wrapper subsystem.
- **Configured**: The fault injection has been configured and is waiting for an interaction. These faults only affect the Ethernet message interactions.
- **Fault introduced**: depending on the faults which are running, the delay has been introduced to the communication and the message loss is calculated.
The fault injection subsystem is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 3: SFTS commands. Figure 52.
- Use case 4: Ethernet interaction. Figure 53.
- Use case 5: I/O interaction. Figure 54.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
- Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61 and Figure 62
- Use case 11: Fault injection stop. Figure 63 and Figure 64

7.13 Co-Simulation Subsystem

The co-simulation subsystem is based on the HLA standard for co-simulation. To use the HLA services, several steps are required to be performed. Those steps are collected into multiple high level states depicted in Figure 36. The co-simulation subsystem synchronizes all devices in the co-simulation framework to a common, (logical) simulation time.

- Not configured: The system is waiting to an external user for configure itself.
- Connect and init: Performs the connection to the RTI, as well as the initial configuration of the federate and the definition of the objects and interactions used by the subsystem. This state is only entered when the framework is started.
• Registration: Registers synchronization points, publishes/subscribes objects and interactions and registers object instances. To ensure a correct delivery of all messages, the sub-states are only changed synchronously.
• Running: Carries out the main loop of the simulation.
• Reconfiguration: Recollects the new configuration files, unpublishes, unsubscribes and eliminates the interactions and objects of the previous configuration, and defines the objects and interactions used in the new configuration.
• Disconnect: If the simulation is finished and no further tests shall be executed or it is stopped but there is no reconfiguration available, the co-simulation entity disconnects from the RTI.

![Dynamic model of the Co-simulation Subsystem](image)

**Figure 36. Dynamic model of the Co-simulation Subsystem.**

For the **Connect and init, Registration, Running, Reconfiguration and Disconnect** states, some additional states are further specified in Figure 37, Figure 38, Figure 39, Figure 40 and Figure 41 respectively. In the **Connect and init** state machine (Figure 37), the different states are:

• Configuration information received: The configuration information has been received.
• RTI connected: the CE has been connected to the RTI.
• Federation Execution Created: The first CE creates the federation execution of the simulation. Hence, an exception will be thrown if another federate tries to create the federation execution. This exception can be ignored.
- Federation Execution Joined: the CE has joined the federation execution. From now on, the federate can communicate with other federates in the federation execution via the HLA services.

- Obj./Interact. Configured: The objects, object instances and interactions which will be published or subscribed have been configured. This step is separated from the initial configuration since now the related RTI handles can be requested directly.

![Dynamic model of the Connect and init state.](image)

In the case of Registration (Figure 38), the states that compose it are:

- Synchronization points registered: Synchronization points have been registered by a responsible CE. This might be the Central PC.

- Synchronization points announcement received: The announcement of the synchronization points has been received by the CEs which are not responsible for the registration.
- Publishers and subscribers defined: all publish/subscribe object classes and interactions have been defined.
- Object instances registered and discovered: The instances of the published object classes have been registered and the object instances of the subscribed objects have been discovered.

Figure 38. Dynamic model of the Registration state

The main loop synchronizes the devices in the co-simulation framework to a common, (logical) simulation time. Independent from the type of simulation (SIL or HIL), the same algorithm can be used. The states that define the main loop are:

- Next Message Requested: The CE has sent a next message request to the RTI to advance in time. It guarantees not to send any message until the requested time.
- Messages received: All messages between the current time and the requested time have been received. The messages have been forwarded to the ED.
- Time Advance Grant received: The time advance grant has been received from the RTI. The co-simulation subsystem controls the execution of a simulation step until the time of the granted event.
- ED interactions received: All ED interactions to be sent via the RTI have been received. Afterwards, it can be checked whether the simulation is finished, a stop command is available or if it has to continue.
When a reconfiguration is done in the system, the states executed are (Figure 40):

- Reconfiguration command received: The co-simulation entity has received the reconfiguration information.
- Unpublished and unsubscribed: All objects and interaction which are not use in the new configuration have been unpublished and unsubscribed.
- Object and interaction configured: the new objects and interactions have been generated.
Finally, when the stop command is received, the system follows the dynamic model shown in Figure 41, whose states are:

- Unpublished and unsubscribed: All objects and interactions have been unpublished and unsubscribed.
- Federation execution resigned: the CE has been disconnected from the federation execution. The usage of HLA services is not possible anymore unless a configure command is sent.
- Federation execution destroyed: the federation execution has been destroyed by the last CE. All earlier attempts to destroy the execution have triggered exceptions which can be ignored.
The co-simulation subsystem is used in the next Use Cases, and therefore in its corresponding sequence diagrams:

- Use case 1: Configuration (scenario 1). Figure 47.
- Use case 2: Reconfiguration (scenario 1). Figure 50.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
- Use case 3: SFTS commands. Figure 52.
- Use case 4: Ethernet interaction. Figure 53.
- Use case 5: I/O interaction. Figure 54.
- Use case 9: Simulation stop. Figure 60.
- Use case 10: Fault injection start. Figure 61 and Figure 62

### 7.14 Network Simulator Subsystem

This subsystem simulates a TCMS network in case a real one is not connected to the framework. The different states of model are:

- Not configured: the system is waiting for scheduling configuration files from the central configurator.
- Scheduling configuration files received: The system receives the scheduling configuration files containing TT stream parameters and Control Gate List (CGL) parameters.
• Configured and running: The network simulator subsystems configured using the configuration files and ready to receive packets from the directly connected subsystems.

• Switch and port identified: the destination switch in the network simulator and the destination port are identified, and the message is sent to this port.

• Switch state machine: the switch processes the message. This state machine is delved below.

• Packet sent to the $C_E_{SB}$: the packet is sent to the $C_E_{SB}$ to be sent to the ED.
The dynamic model of the Switch machine state is shown in Figure 43, all the states are explained below:
- Evaluate packet for enqueuing: When the network simulator subsystem receives a packet, the enqueuing process starts. It processes packet to derive information required for enqueuing packet in the correct egress port queue. The packet is checked against TT streams configuration file. If the packet is TT frame, the reception time is checked against the TT stream arrival time parameter.

- Drop packet: If the TT frame is arrived outside its own window, the network simulator subsystem would drop the packet.

- Enqueue packet in the TT queue: If the TT frame is arrived within its window, the network simulator subsystem would place the packet in the TT queue.

- Enqueue packet in non-TT queues: If the packet is not TT, packet would be enqueued to egress queues that are not dedicated to TT flows.

- Evaluate packet for dequeuing: After the enqueuing of the message completes, the network simulator starts dequeuing process.

- Specify which queue has a turn to transmit packet: The network simulator based on own transmission selection algorithm decide which queue (which is not empty) can send a packet. As a following step, the gate state of queue is determined using the CGL configuration file.

- Dequeue packet: If the gate of the queue which has turn to transmit packet is enable the network simulator forward the message to the corresponding destination.

- After dequeuing the packet, the subsystem checks whether there are more packets in the egress queues. If there is, the dequeuing process starts all over. Otherwise the network simulator goes to configured and running state and waits for reception of a new packet.

- Find the next time slot: If the gate of the queue which has turn to transmit packet is close, the next time slot that the gate would become open, derived from CGL configuration. The network simulator stays in the idle state until the time instant in which the queue gate is open reach.
Figure 43 Dynamic model of the Switch machine state.
Chapter 8 Instantiation of the system

In this chapter, two examples of utilization of the designed CE are presented. These examples are based on the TCMS network shown in Figure 44. This network is composed by two ETBNs, two Consist Switches (CS) and different EDs, HMIs and I/Os. Two emergency buttons are connected to a Train Control Unit (TCU2) which in turn is connected to the TCMS network, as well as other EDs. The different devices are connected to either CS1 or CS2 to form two consist networks which are connected by ETBN1 and ETBN2 respectively.

Figure 44. Sample scenario for instantiation of the system

Both sample instantiations are composed of real and simulated EDs, as well as EDs located in a different place. The use of the designed CE will ensure the proper operation of the TCMS network.

As illustrated in Figure 45, in the first example some of the EDs and the emergency buttons are simulated, while the backbone, the consist network and all other devices are real. The backbone and the consist network of the TCMS, composed by ETBN1, ETBN2, CS1 and CS2, are real hardware which are connected to a CE_SB in order to allow connecting simulated or real EDs via an heterogeneous network. CCUO1, IO1, HMI1 and an emergency button are simulated and connected to the TCMS via a LAN using another CE_SB. The other emergency button is simulated in another Simulation Host and also connected to the network backbone via the same LAN. Furthermore, some real devices, like CCUO2, HMI2 and IO2, are connected in the same LAN. On the other hand, TCU2 is connected to the system via the Internet. All the CE_SBs provide communication among the different devices in the network as a real TCMS was built.

Besides the TCMS devices and the CE_SBs, there are a Central PC and a Test control PC in the instantiation. The Central PC is composed by two parts, the CE_c and the CETS_c. The CE_c is in charge of coordinating all the CE_SBs in the system, while the CETS_c is responsible for monitoring, configuring and starting the whole system. Regarding the Test control PC, it is used to command the simulation. The commands to do so are divided into SFTS and CETS; the SFTS commands to control the different simulations in the system are out of the scope of this document, while CETS command to control the CE. The latter can configure, start or stop the simulation and start or stop the monitoring.
The second example of instantiation is shown in Figure 46. In this example the emergency buttons and all the EDs remains as they were in Figure 45, meanwhile the backbone and the consist network are now simulated by a network simulator. This network simulator is executed in the Central PC and it is connected to a CE\textsubscript{SB} to allow devices to connect via heterogeneous networks.
Figure 46. Sample instantiation of the system with simulated network.
Chapter 9  Summary and conclusions

In this deliverable, a high-level design of a Communication Emulator (CE) to test and validate Train Control and Monitoring Systems (TCMS) has been presented. The proposed design allows connecting different devices typically found in TCMS via a heterogeneous network. End Devices (ED), Vehicular Control Unit (VCU) and Human Machine Interface (HMI) can be connected to the CE to build up the TCMS network and carry out different tests and/or validations. Furthermore, both real devices and simulated models for the EDs, VCUs and HMs are supported by the system.

The design of the system has been presented through several Unified Modelling Language (UML) diagrams. The uses cases of the system and its scope, architectural and dynamic models have been shown in this document. Moreover, a dynamic model has been presented for each subsystem, as well as a sequence diagram for each use case where the interactions among the subsystems are shown.
# Chapter 10  List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>Controller Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Communication Emulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>Communication Emulator, Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE_{SB}</td>
<td>Communication Emulator, Simulation Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETS</td>
<td>Communication Emulator Tool Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETS_{C}</td>
<td>Communication Emulator Tool Set, Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETS_{master}</td>
<td>Communication Emulator Tool Set, Master</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETS_{slave}</td>
<td>Communication Emulator Tool Set, Slave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Consist Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECN</td>
<td>Ethernet Consist Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS</td>
<td>Ethernet Consist Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>End Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETB</td>
<td>Ethernet Train Backbone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETBN</td>
<td>Ethernet Train Backbone Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMI</td>
<td>Functional Mock-up Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOM</td>
<td>Federation Object Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPL</td>
<td>General Public License</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIL</td>
<td>Hardware In The Loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA</td>
<td>High Level Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI</td>
<td>Human Machine Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>Internet Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSec</td>
<td>Internet Protocol Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/O</td>
<td>Input/Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td>Local Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCN</td>
<td>Local Communication Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2TP</td>
<td>Layer Two Tunnelling Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>Multifunction Vehicle Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMT</td>
<td>Object Model Template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPTP</td>
<td>Point-to-Point Tunneling Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>Run-Time Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>Simulation Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFTS</td>
<td>Simulation Framework Tool Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIL</td>
<td>Software In The Loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSL</td>
<td>Secure Socket Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSTP</td>
<td>Secure Socket Tunnelling Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Test Automation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBN</td>
<td>Train Backbone Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCMS</td>
<td>Train Control and Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCU</td>
<td>Train Control Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>User Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UML</td>
<td>Unified Modelling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCU</td>
<td>Vehicle Control Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPN</td>
<td>Virtual Private Network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: List of Abbreviations
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Chapter 12 Appendix 1: Sequence diagrams
Figure 47. Use case 1. Scenario 1.
Figure 48. Use case 1. Scenario 2.
Figure 49. Use case 1. Scenario 3.
Figure 50. Use case 2. Scenario 1.
Figure 51. Use case 2. Scenario 2.
Figure 52. Use case 3. Scenario 1.
Figure 53. Use case 4. Scenario 1.
Figure 54. Use case 5. Scenario 1.
Figure 55. Use case 6. Scenario 1.
Figure 56. Use case 6. Scenario 2.
Figure 57. Use case 7. Scenario 1.
Figure 58. Use case 7. Scenario 2.
Figure 59. Use case 8. Scenario 1.
Figure 60. Use case 9. Scenario 1.
Figure 61. Use case 10. Scenario 1.
Figure 62. Use case 10. Scenario 2.
Figure 63. Use case 11. Scenario 1.
Figure 64. Use case 11. Scenario 2.